Maine State Library Digital Maine

Transportation Documents

Transportation

6-2003

Gorham Bypass Study : Natural Resources Technical Report

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalmaine.com/mdot_docs

Recommended Citation

"Gorham Bypass Study : Natural Resources Technical Report" (2003). *Transportation Documents*. 3523. https://digitalmaine.com/mdot_docs/3523

This Text is brought to you for free and open access by the Transportation at Digital Maine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Transportation Documents by an authorized administrator of Digital Maine. For more information, please contact statedocs@maine.gov, adam.fisher@maine.gov.

Gorham Bypass Study

PIN 8151.10, STP-8151(10)X Cumberland County, Maine

> Natural Resources Technical Report



Prepared For The Environmental Assessment

Submitted Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4332 (2)(c), 23 U.S.C 138 and 23 CFR 771 by:

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration



Maine Department and of Transportation



June 2003

.

CONTENTS

Page

Figu Tab Stuc Star Star	ures les dy Specific Acronyms and Abbreviations ndard Acronyms and Abbreviations ndard Terms	ii iv v v
1.	Introduction	1-1
2.	Inventory of Existing Natural Resources within the Study Area	2-1
	2.1 Wetlands	2-1
	2.2 Groundwater, Surface Water, Floodplain Resources and	
	Wellhead Protection Districts	2-6
	2.3 Threatened and Endangered Species	2-12
	2.4 Wildlife Habitat	2-14
	2.5 Aquatic Habitat	2-16
	2.6 Vegetative Communities	2-17
	2.7 Prime & Unique Farmland	2-20
	2.8 Soils and Geology	2-23
App	pendix A	

i

Bibliography

FIGURES

1-1:	Study Area Map	1-2
2-1:	Study Area Wetlands	. 2-3
2-2:	Wetlands Within Alternative Alignments	2-4
2-3:	Water Resources	2-10
2-4:	Surface Water	2-11
2-5:	Threatened and Endangered Species	2-13
2-6:	Vegetation Coverage Map	2-19
2-7:	Prime and Unique Farmland	2-22
2-8:	Soils and Surficial Geology	2-25

TABLES

Page

2-1:	Wetland Classes and Acreage Within Study Area	2-5
2-2:	Prime Farmland Soils Within the Study Area2-	21

Study Specific Acronyms and Abbreviations

Bo – Biddleford silt loam **CBEP** – Casco Bay Estuary Project CWA – Clean Water Act of 1972 **DWP** – Drinking Water Act L1UB – Lacustrine Unconsolidated Bottom L1UBx - Lacustrine, Limnetic, Unconsolidated Bottom L2UB - Lacustrine, Littoral, Unconsolidated Bottom MGS – Maine Geological Survey **On** – Ondawas fine sandy loam PSS1 - Palustrine, Scrub Shrub Deciduous R2 – Riverine Lower Perennial R2UB - Riverine, Lower Perennial, Unconsolidated Bottom Ru – Rumney fine sandy loam SDWA – Safe Water Drinking Act of 1974 **Sn** – Scantic silt loam **Sp** – Sebago mucky peat

Sz – Swanton fine sandy loam

Standard Acronyms and Abbreviations

AADT – Annual Average Daily Traffic

AASHTO – American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials **ac** – acre

ACHP – Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

ACOE – United States Army Corps of Engineers

AFDC – Aid to Families with Dependent Children

ARAN - Automatic Road Analyzer

ATR – Automatic Traffic Recorder

ATV – All Terrain Vehicle

B/C – Benefit/Cost Ratio

BMP – Best Management Practice

BTIP – Biennial Transportation Improvement Program

CAAA – Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990

CAL3QHC – EPA's Modeling Methology for Predicting Pollutant Concentrations near Roadway Intersections

CBER – Center for Business and Economic Research

CEQ – Council on Environmental Quality

CERCLIS – Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Information System

CFR – Code of Federal Regulations

CO - Carbon monoxide

CRF – Critical Rate Factor

dBA – Loudness (sound pressure level) measured on a logarithmic scale in units of decibels (dB), using an A-weighted filter

DEIS – Draft Environmental Impact Statement

DHV – Design Hour Volume

E & T Plant List Maine Natural Area Program – Official List of Endangered and Threatened Plants in Maine

EA – Environmental Assessment

EFH – Essential Fish Habitat

EIS – Environmental Impact Statement

EPA –U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

FEIS – Final Environmental Impact Statement

FEMA – Federal Emergency Management Agency

FHWA – Federal Highway Administration

FIRM – Flood Insurance Rate Map

FONSI - Finding of No Significant Impact

FMVECP - Federal Motor Vehicle Emission Control Program

FPPA – Farmland Protection Policy Act

ft - feet

GIS – Geographic Information Systems

GRP – Gross Regional Product

GW-A – Groundwater A

ha - hectare

HCAMP – Habitat Consultation Areas Mapping Program

HCL – High Crash Location

km - kilometer kph - kilometers per hour LAWCON – Land and Water Conservation Fund Leg – One-hour equivalent sound level LMA – Labor Market Area LOS – Level of Service LURC – Maine Land Use Regulation Commission m - meters MASC – Maine Atlantic Salmon Commission MBPL – Maine Bureau of Parks and Lands **MDEP** – Maine Department of Environmental Protection **MDIF&W** – Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife **MDOC** – Maine Department of Conservation **MDOT** – Maine Department of Transportation **MDWP** – Maine Drinking Water Program **ME-GAP** – Maine Gap Analysis Program MHPC - Maine Historic Preservation Commission mi -miles **MNAP** – Maine Natural Areas Program **MOA** – Memorandum of Agreement **MOBILE5b** – Mobile Source Emission Factor Model mph – miles per hour **MPO** – Metropolitan Planning Organization M.R.S.A. Maine Revised Statutes Annotated **MSA** - Metropolitan Satisticical Area **MSPO** – Maine State Planning Office NAAQS - National Ambient Air Quality Standards NAC – Noise Abatement Criteria **NEPA** – National Environmental Policy Act **NFIP** – National Flood Insurance Program NHPA – National Historic Preservation Act NHS – National Highway System **NMFS** – National Marine Fisheries Service NO – Nitric Oxide **NOx** – Nitrogen Oxides NO2 – Nitrogen Dioxide **NPL** – National Priority List **NPS** – Nonpoint source **NPS** – National Park Service NRCS – Natural Resources Conservation Service **NRHP** – National Register of Historic Places **NRIMC** – Natural Resource and Information Mapping Center NRPA - Maine Natural Resources Protection Act **NWI** – National Wetlands Inventory **OD** – Origin-Destination **OGIS** – Maine Office of Geographic Information Systems **ORS** – Outstanding River Segment PAC - Public Advisory Committee

PEM – Palustrine Emergent Wetland

PFO – Palustrine Forested Wetland

PIN – Project Identification Number

PLT -- Plantation

ppm – parts per million

PSS – Palustrine Scrub-Shrub Wetland

PUB – Palustrine Unconsolidated Bottom

RCRA – Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

REMI – Regional Economic Models, Inc. of Amherst, MA

ROD – Record Of Decision

RTAC – Regional Transportation Advisory Committee

S.A.D. – School Administrative District

SCS – Soil Conservation Service (now the NRCS)

SHPO – State Historic Preservation Officer

SIP – State Implementation Plan

SSA – Sole Source Aquifer

STPA – Maine's Sensible Transportation Policy Act

STIP – Statewide Transportation Improvement Program

SWPPP – Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

T15 R4 – Township 15 Range 4 (example, numbers used vary)

TCP – Traditional Cultural Property

TDM – Transportation Demand Management

TIP – Transportation Improvement Program

TNM – Traffic Noise Model

TSM – Transportation Systems Management

Twp – Township

USA – United States of America

U.S.C. – United States Code

USDA – United States Department of Agriculture

USFWS – United States Fish and Wildlife Service

USGS – United States Geological Survey

USDOT – United States Department of Transportation

v/c – volume/capacity ratio

VOCs – Volatile Organic Compounds

vpd - vehicles per day

VHT – Vehicle-Hours Traveled

VMT – Vehicle-Miles Traveled

WELS – West of the easterly line of the state (this term is part of naming the unorganized townships of the State)

WPA – Wellhead Protection Area

Standard Terms

85th Percentile Speed – The 85th-percentile speed is the speed at which eighty-five (85) percent of the vehicles on a given highway travel at or below. The most common application of the value is its use as one of the factors for determining the posted, legal speed limit of a highway section. In most cases, the field measurements for the 85th-percentile speed will be conducted during off-peak hours.

100-Year Floodplain – The portion of the floodplain submerged by the statistical flood event with a 1 percent probability of occurring in any year.

Activity center – Activity centers are generally defined as areas that generate economic activity or areas that support a major industry. Houlton, Presque Isle, Caribou and Madawaska are the largest economic activity centers in Aroostook County and are important regional transportation resources. Industrial parks and major trucking generators in Mars Hill, Easton, Ashland, Limestone, Fort Fairfield, Fort Kent, and Van Buren are other important activity centers within Aroostook County.

Additional Farmland Soils of Statewide Importance – Soils that are nearly Prime Farmland and that produce high yields of crops when treated and managed according to acceptable farming methods (see definition of "Prime Farmlands" below).

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) – The major policy advisor to the Federal government in the field of historic preservation. The 20 members of the Council are appointed by the President and include the Secretary of Agriculture, the Secretary of the Interior, the Architect of the Capitol, the chairman of the National Trust for Historic Preservation, and the president of the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers.

Alkaline – With a pH value greater than 7: generally applied to soils and surface water.

Alkalinity - A measure of the capacity of water to neutralize acid. Alkalinity is primarily a function of bicarbonate, carbonate, and hydroxide ions and is typically expressed in parts per million (ppm) of calcium or magnesium ions.

Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) – The total yearly traffic volume on a given highway segment divided by the number of days in the year. AADT is expressed in vehicles per day (vpd).

Aquifer – Rock or sediment that is saturated with water and sufficiently permeable to transmit economically significant quantities of water to wells and springs.

Archaeological resources – Materials and objects that remain below the ground surface as evidence of the life and culture of historic, prehistoric, or ancient people, such as artifacts, structures, or settlements. Resources of concern are located in areas known or suspected to contain subsurface artifacts of pre-european or post-european settlement populations. Areas of expected moderate to high archaeological sensitivity according to various factors including present and past topography, exposure, slope, distance to water, and availability of food.

Archaeologically Sensitive Shorelines – Shores of waterbodies determined by the Maine Historic Preservation Commission (MHPC) to be likely to yield prehistoric artifacts, based on a predictive model using topography, hydrology, and surficial soil types to assess sensitivity.

Archaeologically Sensitive Surficial Deposits – Land forms that are likely locations of prehistoric settlements or gathering places, based on a MHPC predictive model that uses surficial geology (waterbodies, alluvium, lake bottom deposits, glacial outwash, and eskers) to assess sensitivity.

Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) – A federal agency that administers Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act; its regulatory programs address wetlands and waterways protection.

Arterials – Roads with high traffic volumes that provide linkage between major cities and towns and developed areas, capable of attracting travel over long distances. Basically, they provide service to interstate and intercounty travel demand. The arterial system typically provides for high travel speeds and the longest trip movements. The degree of access control on an arterial may range from full control (freeways) to entrance control on, for example, an urban arterial through a densely developed commercial area.

At-grade –The intersection of two roads, or a road and a railway, that cross at the same elevation.

At-Risk Watershed – Watersheds contributing to waterbodies that are at risk of eutrophication due to new development and phosphorus-laden runoff. These waterbodies include public drinking water supplies and waters that currently exhibit algal blooms or other signs of eutrophication. At-risk watersheds are defined according to criteria in Maine's Stormwater Law (5 M.R.S.A. § 3331).

Attainment area – A geographic area in which levels of a criteria air pollutant meet the healthbased primary standard (National Ambient Air Quality Standard) for the pollutant. Attainment areas are defined using federal pollutant limits set by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Avian – Refers to all things of, relating to, or derived from birds

Basaltic – A dark-colored extrusive igneous rock composed chiefly of calcium plagioclase and pyroxene that underlies the oceanic basins and comprises oceanic crust.

Best Management Practice (BMP) – A structural and/or management practice employed before, during and after construction to protect receiving water quality. These practices either provide techniques to reduce soil erosion or remove sediment and pollutants from surface runoff.

Biodiversity –The diversity of genes, species, and ecosystems. This term includes the entire hierarchy of ecological organization, and encompasses regional ecosystem diversity (landscape diversity), local ecosystem diversity (community diversity), species diversity, and genetic diversity within populations of a species.

Biophysical region – A relatively homogeneous area based on analysis of topography, climate, and species richness of vertebrates and plants.

Business incubator – A facility intended to provide space and resources for newly-formed businesses.

Calcareous pelite – A fine-grained sedimentary rock consisting mostly of clay and/or silt that has an abundance of calcium carbonate.

Cambrian – The first geologic time period of the Paleozoic Era. The Cambrian period spanned from approximately 590 to 505 million years ago.

Carbon monoxide (CO) – A colorless, odorless, tasteless gas formed in large part by incomplete combustion of fuel. Full combustion activities (i.e. transportation, industrial processes, space heating, etc.) are the major sources of CO.

Collector Roads – Roads characterized by a roughly even distribution of their access and mobility functions. These routes gather traffic from local roads and streets and deliver it to the arterial system. Traffic volumes and speeds will typically be lower than those of arterials.

Community Cohesion – The interactions among persons and groups in a community, including social relationships and patterns.

Community Supply – A public water system that is comprised of one or multiple wells or reservoirs that serves at least 25 residents throughout the year.

Conglomerate – A clastic sedimentary rock composed of lithified beds of rounded gravel mixed with sand.

Controlled-Access Highway – A highway that provides limited points of access and egress. Freeways, such as I-95, are controlled access highways in which access points occur only at interchanges. These highways serve mobility needs, and are designed to accommodate higher travel speeds.

Cost effectiveness – In the context of this study, cost effectiveness is an economic measure used to evaluate and compare the corridors in this study. Cost effectiveness is defined as the present value of the 2030 gross regional product (GRP) growth per dollar of construction cost. In this way, cost effectiveness compares the relative future economic benefits against the size of the investment required to generate those benefits.

Cumulative impacts – The impacts on the environment that result from the incremental impact of a project when added to other past, present, and reasonable foreseeable future actions regardless of what agency or person undertakes such other actions.

Daily traffic volume – The number of vehicles that use a given roadway over a 24-hour period in both directions.

dBA –An abbreviation for A-weighted decibel. The decibel is a unit used to describe sound pressure levels on a logarithmic scale. For community noise impact assessment, an A-weighted frequency filter is used to approximate the way humans hear sound.

Deciduous – Refers to woody vegetation, such as oak or maple trees, that shed their leaves after the growing season.

Deer Yard – Areas of softwood-dominated forest that provide food resources and shelter for deer during severe winter conditions.

Demand – Vehicular traffic demand (volume) on a given highway segment, expressed in vehicles per day (vpd).

Demand shift – The change in demand (volume) on a given highway segment, expressed in vehicles per day (vpd). Demand shifts can be caused by new corridors that provide a faster and/or shorter travel route.

Design Hourly Volume (DHV) – The hour used for geometric design of highways, typically the 30th highest traffic volume of the year.

Design speed – The maximum safe speed that can be maintained over a specified section of highway when conditions are so favorable that the design features of the highway govern. The design speed should equal or exceed the posted/regulatory speed limit of the facility.

Development node – An area that has experienced or is expected to experience development and a resulting growth in employment and/or population.

Devonian – The oldest period of the Upper Paleozoic Era, covering a time span between approximately 400 and 360 million years ago.

Disadvantaged Population – A group of people, living in one area, who have a median income below the federal poverty level, or who exhibit other indicators of economic disadvantage.

Dolostone – A carbonate rock made up predominantly of the mineral dolomite, CaMg $(CO_3)_2$.

Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) – The document prepared by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in accordance with FHWA National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations (23 CFR Part 771). These regulations require that the EIS evaluate all reasonable alternatives considered, discuss the reasons that alternatives have been eliminated from detailed study, summarize the studies, reviews, consultations, and coordination required by environmental laws and Executive Orders.

Driver eye height – The height above the road of the eyes of vehicle drivers, a function of the seating height, and important in stopping sight distance considerations.

Ecoregion - An area defined by similar climate, topography, and biological communities.

Edge effect – The potential impacts to natural plant and animal communities that result from the creation of new edge habitat, which may include increased predation, decreased reproductive success, and changes in community composition.

Edge habitat – An area along a transitional zone between two or more vegetation cover types that provides feeding, breeding, nesting, or cover habitat for wildlife.

Endangered Species – Any species which is in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

Environmental Assessment (EA) – The document prepared by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in accordance with FHWA National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations (23 CFR Part 771). The EA provides sufficient evidence of analysis that determines whether to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement or a Finding of No Significant Impact. The environmental assessment includes documentation specified in 40 CFR parts 1500-1508, §1508.9 and §1508.13.

Environmental Justice – Executive Order 12898 requires each federal agency to "make achieving environmental justice part of its mission by identifying and addressing... disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental impacts on minority populations and low-income populations."

Esker – An elevated linear or sinuate glacial landform resulting from deposition of glacial streambed gravels.

Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) – Those waters and substrate necessary to fish for spawning, breeding, feeding, or growth to maturity, as defined by the regional Fishery Management Council.

Eutrophication – Change in the biological and physical characteristics of a body of water due to increased nutrient input that result in increased productivity. Eutrophication may occur naturally or through man-induced changes in nutrient inputs.

Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) – A statute enacted in 1981 by the United States Congress to ensure that significant agricultural lands be protected from conversion to non-agricultural uses. For highway projects receiving federal aid, the regulations promulgated under the FPPA (7 CFR Part 658, 1984) require a state highway authority (MDOT) to coordinate with the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. The FPPA regulates four types of farmland soils; prime farmland, unique farmland, farmland of state-wide importance, and farmland of local importance.

Farmland Soils – Soils suited to producing crops; those with soil quality, growing season and moisture supply needed to produce a sustainable yield when treated and managed using acceptable methods. Specifically, farmland soils are those soil types designated by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) in accordance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) of 1981 by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA).

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) – A federal agency that regulates federal actions in floodplains.

Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) – The branch of the U.S. Department of Transportation responsible for administering the funding of federal-aid highway projects.

Federal-Aid System – The federal-aid system consists of those routes within Maine that are eligible for the categorical federal highway funds.

Felsic – A generally light-colored igneous rock with significant amounts of silica, oxygen, aluminum, and potassium.

Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) – The document prepared after circulation of a draft EIS and consideration of comments received. FHWA NEPA regulations (23 CFR Part 771.125) require that the FEIS identify a preferred alternative, evaluate all reasonable alternatives considered, discuss and respond to substantive comments on the EIS, summarize public involvement, and describe the mitigation measures that will be incorporated into the proposed action.

Floodplain – The level area adjoining a river channel inundated during periods of high flow.

Floodway – The channel of a stream, plus any adjacent floodplain areas, that must be kept free of encroachment in order that the 100-year flood is carried without substantial increases in flood heights.

Forest block – Units of forest uninterrupted by roadways or other disturbance.

Fragmentation – Subdivision of a forest or other habitat into isolated patches by roads, land clearing, or other human or natural alterations of the landscape, accompanied by the loss of a certain portion of the original habitat.

Freeways – The freeway (or interstate) is the highest level of arterial. Full control of access, high design speeds and a high level of driver comfort and safety characterize these highways.

Functional Conflict – Highways provide a balance between providing access (with multiple access points) and mobility (with limited access points). Freeways are designed to maximize mobility and serve regional traffic demands as opposed to local roads (or collectors) that provide multiple access points to adjacent land uses (residences or businesses). Functional conflicts arise when regional traffic that would be better served on a Freeway uses local roads.

Geographic Information System (GIS) – A computer-based application used to perform spatial analysis.

Geometric deficiency - A deficiency that occurs when a highway's geometric characteristics (lane width, shoulder width, horizontal curvature, vertical grade, etc.) do not meet prevailing design standards.

Glacial outwash – Surficial sand and gravel sediments deposited ahead of a glacier by glacial meltwater.

Glacial till – Compact surficial sediments consisting of poorly sorted, mixed minerals and rocks, deposited by melting glaciers.

Grade – The slope of a road along the direction of travel, normally characterized by the vertical rise per unit of longitudinal distance.

Grade separation – The intersection of two roads, or a road and a railway, that cross at different elevations. One roadway overpasses or underpasses the other roadway with a structure(s).

Gross Regional Product (GRP) – Gross Regional Product is one of the major economic indices of the socio-economic development of a region. GRP is equal to the total of added values in the regional economic industries, estimated as a difference between production and intermediate consumption.

Groundwater Recharge Protection Areas – Areas of land designated by water resource agencies that rainwater or snowmelt percolates and replenish the underlying aquifer in the area of a public well. These areas require special protection because they directly affect the quality and safety of the public drinking water supply.

GW-A – The highest groundwater classification in Maine. GW-A is applied to water suitable for direct human consumption without treatment.

Habitat Consultation Areas Mapping Program (HCAMP) – A cooperative program of the MDIF&W and MNAP to provide mapping showing the areas of state-listed endangered and threatened animals and plants.

Herpetofauna – Refers to reptile and amphibian species.

High Crash Location (HCL) – A High Crash Location is an intersection or highway segment that experiences an abnormally high number of accidents relative to the traffic demands that are served. For the State of Maine, the Maine Department of Transportation identifies HCLs.

Highway Reconstruction/Rehabilitation – Reconstruction of an existing highway is undertaken when the pavement structure or alignment of the existing facility is deficient. Reconstruction includes removal and replacement of the entire pavement structure, significant changes in the vertical or horizontal alignment, or addition of lanes. Rehabilitation includes resurfacing and other minor repairs intended to extend the service life of the existing facility and enhance highway safety.

Historic resources – Properties, structures and districts that are listed in or have been determined to be eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places.

Hourly traffic volume – The number of vehicles that use a given road over a 1-hour period.

Hydric soils – Soils that are saturated, flooded, or ponded long enough during the growing season to develop at least temporary conditions where there is no free oxygen in the soil around the roots. Hydric soils correspond to federally and state regulated wetlands in many circumstances.

Hydrologic regime – The frequency and duration of inundation or soil saturation of a given area.

Impacted Receiver – A condition that exists if sound levels approach or exceed the Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) or a 15-decibel (dBA) increase in ambient noise levels.

Impervious surface – Relating to hydrology. A surface through which precipitation cannot penetrate, causing direct runoff or perching (examples include asphalt paving roofs, and densely compacted gravel).

Interstate – A freeway-type highway that is part of the National Highway System.

Interstate Highway System – The network of Interstate Highways established by the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956. The statute established a 41,000-mile network of controlled-access highways (expanded to 42,000 miles by legislation in 1968) intended to connect all metropolitan areas with populations greater than 50,000 and all state capitals.

Just-In-Time Delivery – Commercial deliveries that arrive immediately prior to their use. Justin-time deliveries help producers minimize storage or warehousing space.

Kettle - A depressional glacial landform resulting from a melting block of ice embedded in till.

Labor Market Area (LMA) – Labor market areas are regional areas with a high concentration of employment opportunities. These are economically integrated units within which workers may readily change jobs without changing their place of residence.

Lacustrine - Of and related to lakes.

Land and Water Conservation Fund – A system for funding Federal, State and local parks and conservation areas, created by the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1964.

Limited-Access facility – A highway where access to abutting properties is restricted or limited by control of the right-of-way.

Link – A new or existing highway segment between two defined end-points.

Lithic sandstone (graywacke) – A variety of sandstones characterized by angular-shaped grains of quartz and feldspar and small fragments of dark rock set in a matrix of finer particles.

Local Roads and Streets – All public roads and streets not classified as arterials or collectors will have a local classification. Local roads and streets are characterized by many points of direct access to adjacent properties and have relatively minor role in accommodating mobility. Speeds and traffic volumes are usually low.

Mafic – A generally dark-colored igneous rock with significant amounts of one or more ferromagnesian minerals, or formed from a magma with significant amounts of iron and magnesium.

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act – Legislation (16 U.S.C. 1855(b)) governing all fisheries resources within 320 kilometers (200 miles) of the U.S. coast that established regional Fishery Management Councils and required the preparation of Fisheries Management Plans.

Maine Highway Design Guide – A tool developed by the Maine Department of Transportation that provides guidance for the design of roads and highways in the State of Maine in addition to the Federal Highway Administration design criteria.

Maine Land Use Regulation Commission (LURC) – Title 12, M.R.S.A, Chapter 206 –A Commission established by Title 12, M.R.S.A., Chapter 206 to administer the Land Use Regulation Law (12 M.R.S.A. § 681) by preparing land use standards prescribing standards for the use of air, lands and waters within the plantations and unorganized townships of Maine.

Maine's Sensible Transportation Policy Act (STPA) – Maine's Sensible Transportation Policy Act is a state law enacted in 1991 by the citizens of Maine that provides a decision making framework for examining a range of alternatives. The STPA is applicable to transportation planning decisions, capital investment decisions, and project selection decisions made by the Maine Department of Transportation (MDOT).

Maine State Design Standards – State adopted (February 1997) travelway and shoulder design width criteria for non-National Highway System facilities.

Major Collector Road – Collector Roads that tend to serve higher traffic volumes than other Collector Roads. Major collector roads typically link arterials. Traffic volumes and speeds will typically be lower than those of Principal Arterials.

Mesoscale air quality analysis – A regional-level analysis of air for chemical constituents

Metamorphosed – With respect to rock, a rock formation that has been altered by the action of heat and pressure.

Microscale air quality analysis – An analysis of air for chemical constituents, typically conducted for a small study area such as an intersection.

Mill Rate – The property tax rate, per \$1,000 of assessed value.

Minor Arterial – Minor arterials are highways that tend to link Collector Roads to Principal Arterials and serve lower traffic volumes than typical arterials. Minor Arterials are also typically designed at lower travel speeds than Principal Arterials.

Mitigation – Actions that avoid, minimize, or compensate for potential adverse impacts.

Multi-modal service – The act of providing alternative modes or choices of transportation service, such as bus, rail, taxi, etc.

National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) – The prescribed level of pollutants in the outside air that cannot be exceeded during a specified time in a specified geographic area.

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA) – The federal legislation that requires an interdisciplinary approach in planning and decision-making for federal-aid actions. The Act includes requirements for the contents of environmental impact statements

that are to accompany every recommendation for major federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The interdisciplinary study approach includes the analysis of potential impacts to the natural, social and economic environment.

National Highway System (NHS) – The National Highway System is a system of those highways determined to have the greatest national importance to transportation, commerce and defense in the United States. It consists of the Interstate highway system, logical additions to the Interstate system, selected other principal arterials, and other facilities that meet the requirements of one of the subsystems within the NHS.

National Historic District – An area, comprising numerous buildings and their setting, identified as historic in the National Register of Historic Places.

National Priority List (NPL) – The "Superfund" statute (42 U.S.C. Sect. 9601) requires the EPA to establish a National Priorities List of sites which are to be given top priority consideration for removal of hazardous substances and remedial action.

National Register of Historic Places – A list of structures, sites and districts of national historical significance as determined by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation under the National Historic Preservation Act.

National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) – A program administered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for mapping and classifying wetland resources in the United States.

Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) – Formerly the Soil Conservation Service, NRCS is a department within the United State Department of Agriculture that is responsible for administering the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

New Location Highway – A highway proposed to be constructed on land not currently used for transportation facilities.

Nitrogen Oxides (NOx) – Nitric oxide (NO) and Nitrogen dioxide (NO2) are collectively referred to as oxides of nitrogen (NOx). NO forms during high temperature combustion process. NO2 forms when NO further reacts in the atmosphere. NOx reacts with the sunlight to form ozone, a colorless gas associated with smog or haze conditions. Ozone is a pollutant regulated by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

Noise abatement criteria (NAC) – Noise levels measured in decibels that are used as a basis of comparison for evaluating the impact from predicted design year noise and for determining whether noise abatement measures should be considered.

Noise abatement measures – Actions that reduce traffic noise impacts. Noise abatement measures can be traffic management measures, alteration of horizontal and vertical alignments, acquisition of property rights for construction of noise barrier, construction of noise barriers, acquisition of real property or interest for buffer zones, or noise insulation of public use or nonprofit institutional structures.

Noise receptor – Locations that may be affected by noise: sensitive receptors include residences, parks, schools, churches, libraries, hotels, and other public buildings.

Non-Community Supply – A public water system that serves at least 25 persons at least 60 days out of the year and is not a community or a seasonal water system.

Non-Point Source pollution (NPS) – Pollution of waterbodies that does not originate at a single specific source such as an industrial discharge or discharge from a wastewater treatment

plant. Sources of non-point pollution include runoff from highways, agricultural fields, golf courses, and lawns.

Other Principal Arterial – Highways that provide access between arterials and a major port, airport, public transportation facility or other Intermodal transportation facility. Other Principal Arterials tend to serve lower traffic demands than Principal Arterials.

Outstanding River Segment (ORS) – A section of a river or stream designated by the Maine Natural Resources Protection Act (12 M.R.S.A. § 403) for protection because of the special resource values of its flowing waters and shorelines.

Ozone – A gas which is a variety of oxygen. Ozone is a pollutant regulated by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. Ground-level ozone is the main component of smog. Ozone is not directly emitted by motor vehicles, but is formed when oxides of nitrogen react with sunlight.

Palustrine – The group of vegetated wetlands traditionally called by such names as marsh, swamp, bog, fen, and prairie. Palustrine wetlands may be situated shoreward of lakes, river channels, or estuaries; on river floodplains; in isolated catchments; or on slopes.

Palustrine Forested Wetland (PFO) – A palustrine wetland dominated by trees, commonly referred to as a swamp.

Palustrine Emergent Wetland (PEM) – A palustrine wetland dominated by herbaceous species, typically cattails, sedges and grasses, commonly referred to as a marsh.

Palustrine Scrub-Shrub Wetland (PSS) - A palustrine wetland dominated by shrubs.

Passing Sight Distance – The distance a passing vehicle on a two lane road will travel during a passing movement, plus an equal distance that an oncoming vehicle will travel during that time, plus a clearance distance or safety factor.

Peak hour – The hour of the day when traffic volume on a given roadway is highest. A separate peak hour can be defined for morning and evening periods.

Peak hour volume – The traffic volume that occurs during the peak hour, expressed in vehicles per hour (vph). Peak hour volumes are typically 10 to 15 percent of daily volumes.

Peak Hour Leq – Represents the noisiest hour of the day/night and usually occurs during peak periods of motor vehicle traffic. The Leq is the equivalent sound level measurement, which means it averages background sound levels with short-term transient sound levels and provides a uniform method for comparing sound levels that vary over time.

Posted speed limit – The speed posted for a facility based on engineering and traffic investigation.

Prehnite – A silicate mineral that forms in the cavities of basaltic rocks, low temperature hydrothermal fissures, and in limestone.

Primary/direct impacts – The immediate effects on the social, economic, and physical environment caused by the construction and operation of a highway; these impacts are usually experienced within the right-of-way or in the immediate vicinity of the highway or other element of the proposed action.

Prime Farmland Soil – Soil map units that are designated by the Natural Resources Conservation Service as having the properties needed to produce sustained high yield crops when managed with modern farming techniques. **Principal Arterials** – Highways in rural and urban areas that connect urban areas, international border crossings, major ports, airports, public transportation facilities or other Intermodal transportation facilities.

Pumpellyite – A silicate mineral, closely related to epidote that forms in pelitic and dolomite rocks.

Rare and Exemplary Natural Community – An assemblage of interacting plants and animals and their common environment, recurring across the landscape, in which the effects of recent human interference are minimal. Rare natural communities are those which occur infrequently. Exemplary natural communities are exceptional representatives of more common natural communities.

RCRA Generator – An entity that produces hazardous waste regulated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) (42 U.S.C. sect. 6901), which mandates the appropriate identification, tracking, and disposal of hazardous waste.

Record of Decision (ROD) – The document, prepared by the Federal Highway Administration, that presents the basis for the Federal agency action, summarizes any mitigation measures to be incorporated, and documents any required Section 4(f) approvals. No Federal agency action may be undertaken until a Record of Decision has been signed. A Record of Decision is prepared no sooner than 30 days after the public release of the FEIS.

Relocations – The displacement of a residence, business or other structure from a property owner, for public use, that requires the residents or business to be moved to an alternate location.

REMI Model – The REMI Model (Regional Economic Models Inc.) is a widely used and accepted econometric model maintained and updated by the Center for Business and Economic Research at the University of Southern Maine.

Riparian – An area of land that encompasses and is contiguous to a stream or other water body.

Riverine – Of and relating to rivers.

Safety deficiency – In the context of this study, a safety deficiency is a highway segment or intersection that contains a high crash location (HCL).

Secondary impacts – Impacts that are caused by the proposed action and are later in time or farther removed in distance, but are still reasonably foreseeable; secondary impacts may include induced changes to land use patterns, population density or growth rate, and related effects on natural systems, including ecosystems.

Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (Section 10) – Legislation (33 U.S.C. Section 403) that resulted in a permit being required from the Army Corps of Engineers for projects requiring construction in or over navigable waters, the excavation from or dredging or disposal of materials in such waters, or any obstruction or alteration in a navigable water (e.g. stream channelization).

Section 106 of the Historic Preservation Act (Section 106) – The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 470f), Section 106, requires Federal agencies to take into account the effect of their undertakings on properties included in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places and to afford the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation the opportunity to comment on such undertakings.

Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act of 1966 (49 U.S.C., Section 303) (Section 4(f)) – Legislation protecting publicly owned parks, public recreation areas, historic properties or wildlife and waterfowl refuges. The statute states that no Department of Transportation project may use land from these areas unless there is demonstrated to be no prudent and feasible alternative to using the land, and the project includes all possible planning to minimize harm resulting from the use.

Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (Section 404) – The Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (33 U.S.C. 401 et seq.) is the enabling legislation for protection of waters of the United States by the Army Corps of Engineers and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Funds Act (Section 6(f)) – Legislation that provides for the public purchase and preservation of tracts of land.

Sight distance – The distance that a driver can see along the roadway before curvature or obstructions block the view.

Significant Sand and Gravel Aquifer – A porous formation of ice-contact and glacial outwash sand and gravel that contains significant removable quantities of water which is likely to provide drinking water supplies.

Significant Wildlife Habitat – Wildlife habitats, including deer wintering yards, waterfowl and wading bird habitat, seabird nesting habitat, and significant vernal pools, that are protected under 38 M.R.S.A. § 480-B.

Silurian – The third geologic time period of the Paleozoic. The Silurian period lasted from approximately 438 to 408 million years ago.

Sole Source Aquifer (SSA) – An aquifer designated by EPA as the "sole or principal source" of drinking water for a given aquifer service area; that is, an aquifer that is needed to supply 50% or more of the drinking water for that area and for which there are no reasonably available alternative sources should the aquifer become contaminated.

State Implementation Plan (SIP) – A plan created under The 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) that establishes emission reduction requirements for ozone and carbon monoxide nonattainment areas. Proposed projects must demonstrate that the impacts of their emissions are consistent with the appropriate SIP.

Stormwater Pollution Preservation Plan (SWPPP) – A plan required for major construction projects under the EPA's National Pollutant Discharge and Elimination System (NPDES) general permit for construction activities. The SWPPP is required to address measures to prevent erosion, sedimentation, and other potential discharges of pollutants to water bodies and wetlands.

Stormwater runoff – The portion of precipitation that flows toward stream channels, lakes, or other waterbodies as surface flow.

Surface Water Supply Watershed – The watershed that contributes to a public drinking water supply.

System compatibility – System compatibility describes how well alternatives, either new highways or upgrades, fit into the existing highway network and the planned transportation improvement plan.

System continuity – System continuity is defined by how often the existing highways transition between wide, higher speed segments to narrow, low speed segments.

Threatened Species – Any species which is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

Traditional Cultural Property (TCP) – A property or site that is eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places because of its association with cultural practices or beliefs of a living community that are rooted in that community's history and are important to maintaining the continuing cultural identity of the community.

Traffic generator – Any business, government office, or place of employment or destination that generates or attracts traffic.

Transportation deficiencies – A highway related facility that is unable to safely and efficiently satisfy travel demands because of the intensity of traffic volumes, capacity, and/or safety.

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) – A system of actions whose purpose is to alleviate traffic problems through improved management of vehicle trip demand as opposed to adding new highway segments.

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) – A staged multiyear program of transportation projects funded by the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration.

Transportation Systems Management (TSM) – Relatively low cost measures to increase capacity and/or provide safety improvements on the existing transportation system. These measures typically include traffic signal timing or phasing adjustments, designation of turning lanes at specific intersection or driveways, access management improvements, and enhanced signage or markings.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) – A federal agency responsible for administering programs that address farming issues

United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) – A federal agency responsible for administering programs that address environmental issues.

United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) – A federal agency responsible for addressing the protection of fish and wildlife including rare, threatened, or endangered species. The USFWS plays an advisory role in the Section 404 regulatory program administered by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.

Upgrade – A geometric improvement to an existing highway segment.

Upper Devonian – The Devonian Period, which lasted from approximately 408 to 360 million years ago, contains three epochs. The Upper Devonian Epoch lasted from approximately 365 to 360 million years ago.

Vegetation cover type – A biological community characterized by certain vegetation characteristics, such as hardwood forest, mixed forest, shrub, herbaceous, and urban or residential managed vegetation.

Vehicle-Hours Traveled (VHT) – VHT is a measure of automobile use and trip time. One vehicle traveling one hour constitutes one vehicle-hour.

Vehicle-Miles Traveled (VMT) – VMT is a measure of automobile use and trip length. One vehicle traveling one mile constitutes one vehicle-mile.

Vernal pool – A temporary pool of surface water that provides breeding habitat for certain amphibian and invertebrate species.

Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) – Colorless gaseous compounds originating, in part, from the evaporation and incomplete combustion of fuels. In the presence of sunlight VOCs react to form ozone, a pollutant regulated by the Clean Air Act Amendments.

Waterfowl and Wading Bird Habitat – Wetlands that provide habitat for waterfowl (geese, brant, ducks) and wading birds (heron, egrets, bittern, rails), and that meet certain criteria for size, quality, and percent open water as established by Department of Inland Fish & Wildlife regulations.

Watershed – A region or area that contains all land ultimately draining to a water course, body of water, or aquifer.

Wellhead Protection Area (WPA) – Areas of land where human activities are regulated to protect the quality of ground water that supplies public drinking water wells.

Wetland – Areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.

Wild and Scenic River – A river or river segment, designated by the National Park Service, because of the outstandingly remarkable scenic, recreational, geologic, fish and wildlife, historic, cultural or other similar values (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287).

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

1.0 Introduction

This technical report documents the existing natural resources environment in the Study Area for the Gorham Bypass Study and Environmental Assessment (EA) (Gorham Bypass Study). Resources and regulatory considerations which could influence the location and layout of alternatives are presented. The resource categories reviewed include: wetlands; surface water and groundwater resources; floodplains; threatened and endangered species; vegetative communities; wildlife habitat; aquatic habitats; prime and unique farmland; soils; surficial geology; and wellhead protection districts. The sources of data for the corridor level analysis of the study included existing reports, maps, and aerial imagery; communication with various resource agencies and non-profit organizations; and limited field investigations. Once corridors were selected, resource evaluation included in-depth field analysis to support evaluations of alignments.

Each resource is discussed in the context of relevant regulatory requirements, followed by a description of the data sources and evaluation methodology employed, and finally, a description of the existing environment. Where appropriate, the resource areas are depicted on environmental constraints maps for the Study Area. Resource constraints were used to aid in identifying potential bypass corridors 305 meters (1,000 feet) wide.

The Town of Gorham is located 15.3 kilometers (9.5 miles) west of Portland, Maine, Cumberland County, and is part of the Greater Portland Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). Highway access to Gorham is provided by U.S. Route 202, and State Routes 4, 22, 25, 114, and 237. State Route 22 connects to the Maine Turnpike, Interstate Route 95 (I-95), from Gorham Village approximately 10.3 km (6.4 mi) and Route 25 connects with the Maine Turnpike, Interstate Route 95 (I-95), approximately 11.6 kilometers (7.2 mi) to the east.

The Study Area is located in the southern third of the Town of Gorham and encompasses approximately 49.2 square kilometers (19 square miles) (Figure 1-1, page 1-2). The Study Area is generally centered around Gorham Village and includes Mosher Corner, at its northeast corner. To the southeast, the Study Area extends along Route 22 to Scarborough. To the southwest, the Study Area extends to the Buxton townline, in the vicinity of U.S. Route 202/Route 4 and Osborne Road. West Gorham is at the northwest corner of the Study Area.



2.0 Inventory of Existing Natural Resources Within the Study Area

Corridor Level Data Collection

The primary source of data for the corridor level assessment of environmental resources within the Study Area was the Maine Office of Geographic Information Systems (Maine OGIS) website. This information was supplemented with agency information, such as the locations of the Deer Wintering Area, plant species information, and the known location of state and federal protected wildlife, when available, obtained during the year 2000.

For wetlands, additional data sources including hydric soils lists, and aerial photograph interpretation were reviewed, with limited field confirmation of resources. Once this review was completed, new information developed was added directly to the digitized maps. Other resources were supplemented with data from electronic sources such as various State of Maine agency websites to supplement written information for this technical report.

Alternative Level Data Collection

Five bypass corridors, 305 meters (1,000 feet) wide, were selected for further assessment. Within each of these corridors, a 61 meter (200 foot) highway right-of-way was determined along with a 12 meter (40 foot) wide road alignment for field review on aerial photographs of the alternative rights-of-way. These maps were utilized in the field to delineate wetlands which were then plotted on the resource maps based on field features. Other resources were field checked as well, including cover types, stream characteristics, and the presence of protected species including those species identified by the resource agencies.

The data collected in the field was converted into digital data in Geographic Information Systems (GIS) format for incorporation into Phase I constraints mapping. Updated mapping allowed for an accurate assessment of the alternatives using field delineated data.

2.1 Wetlands

Regulatory Review

Federal jurisdiction of wetlands lies with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE) and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), in accordance with Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. Under Section 404, the ACOE can authorize the issuance of dredge and fill permits within waters of the United States which includes wetlands. The EPA has a program oversight role and has the authority to make final determinations of the applicability of Section 404 to specific projects. Input is solicited from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). Under Section 404, before a project may proceed with either dredging or filling of a wetland, it must be shown that efforts have been made to avoid impacts, minimize unavoidable impacts, and compensate for any remaining impacts.

Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands, also addresses wetland impacts, requiring all federal agencies to minimize the destruction, degradation, or loss of wetlands. The lead federal agency for a project must make appropriate findings documenting compliance with this Executive Order.

Freshwater wetlands are regulated by the State of Maine under the Natural Resources Protection Act (NRPA) (38 MRSA § 480-A through § 480-Z) and the MDEP's Wetland Protection Rules (Chapter 310). The Act regulates dredging, draining, filling, and other alterations. The NRPA program is administered by the Maine Department of Environmental Protection (MDEP).

Methodology

At the corridor level, federal and state jurisdictional wetlands within the Study Area were identified through the use of existing data. Preliminary information on the Study Area's wetlands was obtained from the Gorham National Wetlands Inventory map (USFWS, 1980), from the Soil Survey of Cumberland County, Maine (Hedstrom, 1974), and various previous studies conducted within and adjacent to the Study Area. Federal wetland classifications were assigned according to the criteria published by the USFWS in Cowardin et al., (1979), and are noted on Figure 2-1, page 2-3.

The various sources of existing data such as the extent of hydric soils on the soils maps and NWI mapping were utilized to identify wetlands for the Phase I mapping. Additional information on wetlands was obtained through photo interpretation of aerial photographs using long established remote sensing standards and techniques. These photos are black and white, large scale 9"x 9" paper photos taken on November 16, 1998 (MDOT, 1998). This date of photography allowed for photo interpretation of surface features in deciduous woodland and optimum seasonal surface water, which proved instrumental for constraints mapping. The presence of extensive non-deciduous vegetation across the Study Area influences the accuracy of photographic interpretation of wetlands. Specific locations with conflicting data were checked in the field during a windshield survey. Individual wetlands were reviewed from a vehicle, no field determination was made. This level of information was utilized through the corridor screening phase.

Once alignment alternatives were developed within each corridor, wetland boundaries within the alternative rights-of-way were identified in the field but were not flagged or surveyed. Sufficient documentation was collected at representative locations in order to confirm these findings. These wetlands are depicted in Figure 2-2, page 2-4.

Wetland functional assessments were performed in representative wetland locations for the alignments selected for more detailed examination. The performance of a wetland functional assessment is a required element in the determination of (1) the functional value of the wetlands and (2) the magnitude of functional impacts that might be incurred from the proposed project. The functional assessment methods used for this study are adapted from methods developed to satisfy the ACOE's Highway Methodology requirements as stated in the ACOE's Highway Methodology Workbook Supplement: Wetland Functions and Values. A Descriptive Approach (1995) and Guide for Permit





Applicants (1993). Information used in the assessment includes data collected in the field, aerial photographs, rare species data, floodplain and water resources information, and other sources. The 13 functions assessed for this study include:

- Groundwater recharge/discharge;
- Flood storage and desynchronization;
- Sediment and shoreline stabilization;
- Sediment/toxicant retention;
- Nutrient retention/transformation;
- Nutrient export;
- Aquatic diversity/abundance and fish and shellfish habitat;
- Wildlife habitat;
- Endangered species habitat;
- Recreation (consumptive and non-consumptive);
- Uniqueness/heritage;
- Education/scientific; and
- Visual quality/aesthetics.

The Functional Assessments are provided in Appendix A of this report.

Summary of Existing Resources

Wetland systems (Cowardin et al., 1979) identified within the Study Area includes Riverine, Lacustrine, and Palustrine. A total of six wetland classes were noted in the Study Area based on Cowardin et al (1979) as noted on the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) maps. In addition, the Soil Survey of Cumberland County (Hedstrom, 1974) and aerial photography was used to identify wetland systems. The wetland classes found in the Study Area include Palustrine Forested (PFO), Palustrine Scrub-Shrub (PSS) and Palustrine Emergent (PEM), as well as Palustrine Unconsolidated Bottom (Pub), Lacustrine Unconsolidated Bottom (L1UB), and Riverine Lower Perennial (R2) and wetlands described in the Section, 3.3.6, page 3-18 of the Environmental Assessment (EA). Palustrine wetlands are described in Cowardin et al., as including all nontidal wetlands dominated by trees, shrubs, persistent emergents, emergent mosses or lichens, and all such wetlands that occur in tidal areas with salinities less than 0.5%. Non-vegetated Palustrine wetlands are described in the Palustrine Unconsolidated bottom class, Section 3.3.6, page 3-18 of the EA. Other classes within Palustrine system include: Palustrine Emergent, Palustrine Forested, Palustrine Scrub-Shrub, Palustrine Unconsolidated Bottom, Lacustrine, and Riverine systems. Table 2-1 outlines the wetland classes and size within the Study Area.

Table 2-1		
Wetland Classes	and Acreage	within Study Area

Wetland Type	Hectares (Acres)
Palustrine Emergent	37.8 ha (93.4 ac)
Palustrine Forested	133.1 ha (328.8 ac)
Palustrine Scrub-Shrub	70.8 ha (175.0 ac)
Palustrine Unconsolidated Bottom	15.1 ha (37.4 ac)
Lacustrine Unconsolidated Bottom	6.5 ha (16.0 ac)

Source: Based on NWI maps, Soils Information, and Photo Interpretation.

The number of wetlands noted in the Study Area is approximately 200. (Figure 2-1, page 2-3).

Of the various wetland communities defined at the corridor level, the majority are located south of Route 25. More specifically, wetlands in the southwest quadrant of the Study Area, from Flaggy Meadow Road south and east to Route 114 south, are nearly all Palustrine Forested (PFO) wetlands with large forested wetland communities west of Cressey Road and north of Narragansett Street. There is another PFO wetland community located west of Route 114 near Crestwood Drive.

The southeastern quadrant of the Study Area, namely east of Route 114 and north to New Portland Road contains a mix of forested wetland communities and scrub-shrub communities. The wetlands in this portion of the Study Area also appear to be more closely associated with drainageways including Indian Camp Brook.

In the northeast quadrant of the Study Area, north of New Portland Road and east of Route 114, the wetland communities are predominately PEM and PSS with the largest wetland area associated with Tannery Brook.

The wetland communities associated with the northwest quadrant, bounded by Flaggy Meadow Road to the south and Route 114 to the east is predominately PSS wetlands along with PFO wetlands. The largest wetland community in this portion of the Study Area is associated with the Little River. (See Figure 2-1, page 2-3)

2.2 Groundwater, Surface Water, and Floodplain Resources and Wellhead Protection Districts

Regulatory Review

Oversight of groundwater resources at the federal level is by the EPA through the administration of the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (SDWA), as amended, and to a lesser extent the Clean Water Act Section 404 (CWA). At the state level, the MDEP is responsible for groundwater protection, while drinking water is administered through the Department of Human Services, Division of Health Engineering, Drinking Water Program (DWP) which is responsible for enforcing the federal SDWA in terms of water quality at the point of use.

Surface water resources are regulated under federal legislation enacted to protect the quality of the nation's surface water. The primary federal legislation pertaining to this study is the CWA (first passed in 1972, and amended several times thereafter), which establishes a federal policy to regulate the discharge of pollutants into the nation's surface waters. Any work within the "waters of the U.S." requires a permit under Section 404 of the CWA (33 U.S.C. s/s 121 et seq,1977). Other federal requirements include Section 401 (CWA) Water Quality Certification which is administered through the State of Maine. Section 303(d) of the CWA requires states to identify water body segments that do not attain water quality standards or are imminently threatened and are not expected to meet state water quality standards.

At the state level, the MDEP oversees surface water issues through a number of policies and regulations including the Maine NRPA (38 MRSA § 480-A et seq.). MDOT projects are also reviewed for compliance with the 1998 Stormwater Management MOA.

A water classification system established pursuant to 38 MRSA § 464 established designated uses, related characteristics of those uses, and criteria necessary to protect the uses. The State of Maine has four classes of freshwater rivers, Class AA, Class A, Class B, and Class C as defined in the regulations.

Wellhead Protection Areas are designated to protect public water supplies from sources of contamination. The SDWA is the federal act established to protect the quality of drinking water in the United States. This law focuses on all waters actually or potentially designated for drinking use, whether from aboveground or underground sources.

The SDWA authorized the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to establish safe standards for purity and required all owners or operators of public water systems to comply with primary health-related standards. State governments also encourage the attainment of secondary health-related standards.

The State of Maine implements a Wellhead Protection Program, under the DWP. The Maine DWP is administered by the Department of Human Services. The DWP is responsible for enforcing the SDWA and has primary responsibility for administering the state's rules related to drinking water.

In addition, public wells are regulated by the Maine Department of Human Services, Drinking Water Program regulation 10-144E CMR 231, § 2 in the Maine Rules Relating to Drinking Water. This regulation defines a public water well as "any water transmitted through a set of pipes for human consumption which serves at least 15 service connections or regularly serves at least 25 residents 60 days or more per year." Private water wells are not regulated under 10-144E, CMR 231, in the Maine Rules Relating to Drinking Water.

Methodology

Baseline information describing the quality and quantity of groundwater resources located within the Study Area was obtained from the Significant Aquifer Map for the Gorham Quadrangle, from Maine Geological Survey (MGS), Open File No. 98-143 (1998). Additional mapping available from other sources included Groundwater Resource maps of Cumberland County (Maine Geological Survey, 1976). The Town of Gorham provided specific information on the location of public wells. Other data were collected via personal communication with state regulatory agencies.

Baseline information describing the location of public water supplies was obtained through the Maine Department of Human Services, Division of Health Engineering. The location of public wells was obtained through the Maine OGIS, Drinking Water Program. The Customer Service Department of the Portland Water District was contacted for information on their service area and the source of their public water supply.

The information available from these sources identified known aquifers and well fields, and potential significant sand and gravel deposits. The term "aquifer" is applied to any water-bearing geologic formation capable of producing usable quantities of groundwater to be pumped into a well. A significant aquifer in the State of Maine is defined by 38 MRSA § 482, 4-D, as "a porous formation of ice-contact and glacial-outwash sand and gravel or bedrock that contains significant recoverable quantities of water which is likely to provide drinking water supplies." The MGS considers a sand and gravel aquifer to be "significant" when a well in that deposit is capable of being continuously pumped at a rate of 38 liters (10 gallons) per minute or more (Neil, 1998). The location of each public well is shown on Figure 2-3, page 2-10 with a 91 meter (300-foot) radius Wellhead Protection Area. The 91 meter (300-foot) radius is established by the Maine Department of Health Services, Drinking Water Program and correlates to the amount of protection the wellhead area requires in relation to the population served by the water system.

Surface water resources within the Study Area were based primarily on the existing information contained on the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services National Wetland Inventory mapping contained on the Maine OGIS website. This information was supplemented with interpretation of aerial photographs using remote sensing standards and techniques.

Surface water resources were defined according to the NRPA definition of great ponds, and river or stream or the Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States (Cowardin et al., 1979), which is the federal standard for remotely sensed wetland and deepwater habitat classification. For the purposes of this technical report, surface water resources were defined as any body of water which meets the criteria for either a lacustrine or riverine system by the Cowardin method.

Areas meeting the Cowardin definition were delineated directly on base maps. Limited field checking of existing or mapped resources was conducted for the corridor level studies.

Other data sources reviewed prior to and during photo interpretation included United States Geological Survey topographic quadrangle mapping (Gorham, 1985) and various fact sheets and guidance documents available on the state website.

Summary of Existing Resources

The Portland Water District, which draws its water supply from Sebago Lake (located outside the Study Area) supplies water to approximately 1,600 commercial and residential customers in the Town of Gorham (Coffin, 2000). Figure 2-3, page 2-10 depicts the Portland Water District service area within the Study Area. Private water wells are the principle source of drinking water for the remainder of the residents of the Study Area, with both overburden and bedrock wells being used for water supplies. The majority of the bedrock wells are 30-91 meters (100-300 feet) in depth and typical well yields are approximately 38-76 liters (10-20 gallons) per minute, although yields of up to 378.5 liters (100 gallons) per minute do occur (Caswell, 1976 [a]). The thickness of the area's overburden is generally in the range of 3-6 meters (10-20 feet)(Caswell, 1976 [c]).

There are seven public water supply wells within the Study Area, including: the Wake Up Call Restaurant well, the O'Brien Mobile Home Park well, two wells located at the Gorham Country Club, and three wells located at the Wassamki Springs area in South Gorham. Each well has a 91 meter (300-foot) radius wellhead protection area around it (See Figure 2-3, page 2-10). These well head protection areas are established by the Maine Drinking Water Program, Department of Human Services around both large and

small public water supply wells and are intended to be used as a planning tool to evaluate potential land uses and their impacts on the local water quality.

All or a portion of three Sand and Gravel Aquifer areas, where ground water yield is estimated to be greater than 38 liters (10 gallons) per minute, exist in the Study Area. The approximate areal extent of these areas is 1,092 hectares (2,700 acres).

The Water Resources Map (Figure 2-3, page 2-10) identifies approximate boundaries of the Significant Sand and Gravel Aquifers, as well as the location of public water supply wells. These aquifer areas are grouped by potential yields of the wells. The Significant Aquifer Map for the Study Area identifies three significant aquifers. The first is considered a "high yield" aquifer, located in the extreme southeast corner of the Study Area along the Gorham and Scarborough townline. This high yield aquifer is capable of yielding in excess of 189 liters (50 gallons) per minute. This area of high yield aquifer is surrounded by a second aquifer, an area of "medium yield" aquifer, located along Route 22 and the Gorham/Scarborough townline. It has a potential yield of up to 38-189 lpm (10-50 gpm).

The third Significant Sand and Gravel Aquifer is a medium yield aquifer (i.e. aquifer with potential yields of 38-189 lpm (10-50 gpm) located in the vicinity of Gorham Village. This aquifer is oriented in a southwest to northeast direction extending along Route 202 to approximately the Cumberland County and York County line.

Fractured bedrock groundwater resources are used extensively in the Study Area (Neil, 1998), and while yields are generally less than those found in surficial aquifer wells, they are sufficiently large to meet most domestic needs, for individual wells.

In terms of surface waters, the Study Area lies within the drainage basins of two waterways, the Presumpscot River and the Stroudwater River. Route 202 west of Gorham Village and Route 25 east of the Gorham Village essentially delineate the drainage divide. The Presumpscot River flows from northwest to southeast and is located outside the Study Area. Tributaries of the Presumpscot River, which include Little River, Martin Brook, Fort Hill Brook, Tannery Brook, Files Brook, Brandy Brook, Mosher Brook, and several unnamed tributaries, drain the northwesterly portion of the Study Area. Its tributaries include Gully Brook, Deering Brook, Strout Brook, Indian Camp Brook, and several unnamed tributaries. These and other surface water features are shown on Figure 2-4, page 2-11.

The Stroudwater River in the Study Area is classified as Riverine, Lower Perennial, Unconsolidated Bottom (R2UB), while the smaller streams such as Gully Brook and Indian Camp Brook are primarily Palustrine, Scrub-Shrub, Deciduous (PSS1). The Little River is classified as a riverine habitat as well as Palustrine Scrub-Shrub. Tannery Brook includes a ponded portion, Lacustrine, Littoral, Unconsolidated Bottom (L2UB), as well as Riverine portions (riverine, lower perennial, unconsolidated bottom-R2UB), and Palustrine Emergent and Scrub-Shrub wetlands (PEM and PSS)(Figure 2-1, page 2-3).

The Stroudwater River, all its tributaries, and all tributaries to the Presumpscot River below its outlet from Sebago Lake are listed as Class B waterways (38 MRSA § 465). Mosher Brook is cited in the 1998 Section 303(d) List of Water Quality Limited Rivers and Streams as a Class B stream due to non-attainment of dissolved oxygen






Unfragmented habitat blocks represent contiguous areas of forest and other vegetative communities with limited disturbance. The availability of unfragmented blocks of habitat within the Study Area was examined through the assessment methods described in the Vegetation Section 2.6, page 2-17.

Existing wildlife habitat information including Significant Wildlife Habitat in the Study Area was obtained through contacting MDIF&W regional wildlife biologist, Mr. Philip Bozenhard in Gray, Maine. Significant Wildlife Habitats include critical or important wildlife habitats, Essential Wildlife Habitats, Deer Wintering Areas, and Waterfowl and Wading Bird habitat. Records of protected wildlife species are noted in the Threatened and Endangered Species Section 2.3, page 2-12.

Summary of Existing Resources

The Study Area includes a broad range of potential wildlife habitats. The various vegetative communities across the site combine to provide the appropriate food and cover necessary which meet the requirements of a variety of wildlife species. These communities can be characterized as being primarily forested, however the interspersion of large areas of open land and scrub-shrub areas combine to add to the overall value of the Study Area. Forested habitats occur throughout the Study Area except in the Gorham Village area, which is considered an urban area, densely developed, with the largest tracts of forest land occurring on the west side of the Study Area. This community typically provides a variety of values including food, cover, and breeding habitat to birds and some amphibian species, as well as small and large mammals such as the whitetailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus). Open fields, more common in the outer portions of the Study Area but especially in the Mosher Corner area, and in the area north of the Gorham Village area, are an important element of the habitat requirements for a variety of bird species such as the eastern meadowlark (Sturnella magna) but also provide cover, nesting opportunity and breeding habitat for small mammals such the deer mouse (Peromyscus maniculatus) and foraging habitat for mammals such as the fox (Vulpes vulpes) and coyote (Canis latrans).

The identification and evaluation of wildlife species includes the following categories:

Amphibians and Reptiles

DeGraaf and Rudis (1986) indicate 14 amphibian and 12 reptile species may be found in the Study Area. A list of these species is included in Appendix B.

The "significant wildlife habitat" of vernal pools are a required habitat for a majority of the salamander species noted plus the wood frog (*Rana sylvatica*), however no vernal pools were identified by MDIF&W in the correspondence regarding "Significant Wildlife Habitat". By definition however if they occur within another protected natural resource such as a wetland they would be considered "Significant Wildlife Habitat". No vernal pools were identified during wetland delineation field work.

<u>Birds</u>

The Study Area with its variety of forested and open field habitats, as well as wetland and upland communities combines to provide habitats for a number of bird species. One hundred and forty-eight species of birds were identified by MDIF&W as likely inhabitants in the various habitats of the Study Area. The table of bird species identified by MDIF&W (2002) as occurring in the Study Area is provided in Appendix A.

According to the mapped information provided by MDIF&W, Significant Wildlife Habitats include a Moderate Value Waterfowl Wading Bird Habitat at Tannery Brook Pond, just north of Gorham Village (Eldridge, 2001). This wildlife data is provided on the Threatened and Endangered Species/Wildlife Habitat Figure 2-5, page 2-13. No other wildlife resources were noted by MDIF&W.

<u>Mammals</u>

Thirty-six species of mammals were identified by the MDIF&W (2002), as likely inhabitants in the various habitats identified in the Study Area. A listing of mammal species with ranges overlapping the Study Area is included in Appendix A.

According to the mapped information provided by MDIF&W, Significant Wildlife Habitats include a Deer Wintering Area located on the south side of Day Road, east of Route 114. (Eldridge, 2000). This wildlife data is provided on the Threatened and Endangered Species/Wildlife Habitat, Figure 2-5, page 2-13. No other wildlife resources were noted by MDIF&W.

Unfragmented Habitats

The availability of unfragmented habitats in the Study Area is most easily observed by reviewing the Vegetation Coverage Map (Figure 2-6, page 2-19). Large blocks of forestland and other cover types are located throughout the Study Area. The configuration of these habitat areas is however affected by the presence of the existing road network, which radiates out from the Gorham Village center. Most of the habitat areas begin near Gorham Village and continue outside the Study Area. Initially it can be stated that impacts to these habitat areas will be more likely to occur as you travel away from the center of Gorham Village.

No wildlife concerns were identified by the USFWS.

2.5 Aquatic Habitat

Regulatory Review

Significant wildlife and aquatic habitat is protected by the State of Maine under NRPA (38 MRSA § 480-A through 480-Z). Activities may not "unreasonably harm any significant wildlife habitats, freshwater wetland plant habitat, aquatic habitat, travel corridor, freshwater, estuarine, or marine fisheries or other aquatic life." However, only significant habitats that are mapped are protected.

Specifically, Maine Department of Transportation projects "may not block any fish passage in any water course" and "shall use erosion control measures to prevent sedimentation of any surface waters" as described under NRPA (38 MRSA § 480-H, 1[A]).

Methodology

Fisheries information was requested from USFWS and NMFS.

Existing fisheries resources information within the Study Area was obtained by contacting the MDIF&W regional fisheries biologist in Gray, Mr. John Boland. In addition, the Atlantic Salmon Commission (ASC) and Maine Department of Marine Resources were contacted for information. At the alignment level of study, additional field work focused on a thorough examination of resources, specifically data on the quality of streams, susceptibility of communities to sedimentation, as well as the overall fishery values of specific streams.

Summary of Existing Resources

No fisheries concerns were noted by the USFWS or the NMFS. Correspondence from Mr. Norm Dube of the Atlantic Salmon Commission indicated no concerns with the Study Area and noted that no Atlantic Salmon habitat would be impacted by the proposed bypass. A review of files by Mr. Brian Swan of the Maine Department of Marine Resources (DMR), indicated a similar response of no marine resources located within the Study Area.

According to information provided by the MDIF&W, the Little River is stocked with brook trout and brown trout on an annual basis, while the Stroudwater River in the Gorham area is "periodically" stocked with brook trout. A number of the smaller tributary streams and headwater sections of the larger streams were identified as containing wild populations of brook trout. Based on this assessment all streams are assumed to provide fisheries habitat for the preliminary or corridor selection phase of the study. This information was further refined during later phases through field examination and further coordination with MDIF&W.

2.6 Vegetative Communities

Regulatory Review

The consideration of vegetative communities is provided in conjunction with wildlife habitat issues. Agencies responsible for these issues include the MDIF&W. Specific reference to "travel corridors" is noted in the Natural Resources Protection Act (38 MRSA § 480-D,3). Travel corridors provide a connection between two habitat areas.

Methodology

Information on vegetative cover was obtained through aerial photo interpretation and published data. The most comprehensive information currently available was found on the land use maps provided as part of the Casco Bay Estuary Project (CBEP) (USEPA, 1995). Other data resources reviewed included the Cumberland County, Maine, Soil Survey (1974); and the U.S. Geological Survey 7.5 minute series quadrangle map for Gorham (1975). In addition, several resource agencies were contacted during the preliminary phase of the study. Information was provided by the State of Maine, Natural Areas Program, and Maine Forest Service.

Land cover classification maps provided by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service based on the Casco Bay Estuary Project, Geographic Information Systems, (1996) served as the primary basis for the vegetative cover maps. This map was developed with satellite imagery and classifies communities into more than 26 different types, including the location and extent of forested communities. From the data in this map the various communities were combined to provide a basis for displaying forested and non-forested communities within the Study Area, as noted in Figure 2-6, page 2-19.

The data provided allows the examination of direct impacts to available wildlife habitat, such as the loss of scrub-shrub habitats, and also an overview of how the proposed bypass would fragment and disrupt available habitat. In general, the presence of large blocks of undisturbed habitat and potential travel corridors can be identified through the use of the vegetation maps, allowing an examination of the potential for bisecting or fragmenting these habitats by any highway corridor.

Additional data concerning specific plant species recorded in the Study Area are included in Section 2.3, page 2-12, Threatened and Endangered Species.

Summary of Existing Resources

The CBEP (1996) identified 24 different cover types such as high and low density/residential, four classes of hardwoods, and four classes of softwoods. The Vegetation Coverage Map (Figure 2-6, page 2-19) developed for this study utilized the CBEP data, consolidating a number of these categories to include classes such as hardwood, softwood and scrub-shrub. The Study Area vegetation has been aggregated into five vegetation cover types, in addition to developed lands:

- (1) open lands, including grasslands, emergents and marshlands,
- (2) scrub-shrub,
- (3) softwood forest,
- (4) hardwood forest,
- (5) forested wetland, and,
- (6) developed lands including residential, commercial.

• Open Land communities

The Open Land communities include active agricultural areas such as hay fields, and other low-growing communities such as emergent or marshy areas. As noted in the Wildlife Habitat Section 2.4, page 2-14, these areas can be an important element of bird and small mammal habitat. Total acreage within the Study Area is 1,393 ha (3,443 ac).

• Scrub-Shrub communities

In general, Scrub-Shrub communities found in the Study Area were associated with wetlands, along roadsides, along utility corridors, and adjacent to streams and drainageways. These communities are characterized by woody vegetation less than 6 meters (20 feet) tall. Typical species include speckled alder (*Alnus incana rugosa*), gray *birch (Betula populifolia)*, and staghorn sumac (*Rhus typhina*). Total acreage within the Study Area is approximately 370 ha (914 ac).

Softwood (coniferous) forest

The Softwood forest communities are distributed throughout the Study Area with large concentration in the south-central, and north-central portions of the Study Area. The





Source: Casco Bay Estuary Project, Geographic Information System (1996) forest is dominated by white pine (*Pinus strobus*) and spruce (*Picea*) species. Total acreage within the Study Area is approximately 1,385 ha (3424 ac).

• Hardwood (deciduous) forest

The Hardwood forest communities are distributed across the Study Area with the largest concentrations occurring in the southern and western portions of the Study Area. The hardwood component of the Study Area is best represented as Northern Hardwood forest. Total acreage within the Study Area is approximately 1,434 ha (3,543 ac).

• Forested Wetland communities

The Forested Wetland communities found in the Study Area are typically large communities located adjacent to streams or drainageways or are found in lower portions of the landscape. The forested communities are characterized by woody vegetation over six meters (20 feet) tall. Typical species include red maple (*Acer rubrum*) and eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*). Total acreage within the Study Area is approximately 168 ha (416 ac).

Developed Land

The cover type of Developed Land includes those areas already developed for residential, commercial and industrial purposes, as well as the landscaped areas around these facilities. The developed land communities in the Study Area are generally associated with the Gorham Village area as well as areas adjacent to the existing roadways. Total acreage within the Study Area is 227 ha (562 ac).

Areas have also been covered by water, which are comprised of various streams, ponds and other types of waterbodies that were picked up from satellite imagery based on Casco Bay Estuary Project, Geographic Information System (1996).

The Vegetation Coverage Map provides a depiction of forested and non-forested communities, illustrating the extent of these resources, and their contiguous nature, allowing an assessment of the potential impacts to existing communities during the selection of bypass corridors and alignments.

2.7 Prime & Unique Farmland

Regulatory Review

The Farmland Protection Policy Act was established by Congress in 1981 to minimize the extent to which federal activities contribute to the conversion of agricultural land to nonagricultural uses. The Farmland Protection Policy Act is overseen by the U.S. Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). The premise of the act is to ensure that federal policies are administered in a manner that will be compatible with state, local, and private policies that protect farmland. The NRCS looks at the amount of farmland that may be impacted by a proposed bypass road. Once the location(s) have been identified, a Farmland Conversion Impact Rating form (Form AD-1006) is completed by the federal agency undertaking the project, and the farmland significance is evaluated. Based on the Farmland Conversion Impact Rating form, a site rated with the most amount of points will be considered most suitable for protection.

Methodology

Available mapping of farmland soils within the Study Area was reviewed through information sources such as the NRCS Important Farmlands Map for Cumberland County (1980).

Summary of Existing Resources

In order to address potential impacts to farmlands, a soil classification system based on soil characteristics is used. This classification of farmland consists of four categories: prime farmland, unique farmland, additional farmland of state-wide importance, and additional farmland of local importance. Soils in these categories that have structures or pavement are considered to have been irreversibly "converted" and no longer available for agricultural production. In addition, farmland does not include lands identified as either "urbanized" on the Census Bureau Map, as an urban area mapped with a "tint overprint" on the USGS topographical maps or as "urban build-up" on the USDA Farmland Maps (7 CFR 658.2). Table 2-2 shows the prime farmland soils within the Study Area.

Map Symbol	Prime Earmland	Soil Name and Description
	Code	
BuB	2	Buxton silt loam, 3 to 8% slope
CaB	4	Canaan sandy loam, 3 to 8% slope
DeA	4	Deerfield loamy sand, 0 to 3% slope
DeB	4	Deerfield loamy sand, 3 to 8% slope
EmB	1	Elmwood fine sandy loam, 0 to 8% slope
HgB	4	Hermon sandy loam, 3 to 8% slope
HIB	4	Hinckley gravelly sandy loam, 3 to 8% slope
HnB	4	Hinckley-Suffield complex, 3 to 8% slope
HrB	4	Hollis fine sandy loam, 3 to 8% slope
LyB	4	Lyman fine sandy loam, 3 to 8% slope
MkB	1	Merrimac fine sandy loam, 3 to 8% slope
On	1	Ondawa fine sandy loam
PbB	1	Paxton fine sandy loam, 3 to 8% slope
PkB	1	Peru fine sandy loam, 0 to 8% slope
Py	1	Podunk fine sandy loam
WmB	4	Windsor loamy sand, 0 to 8% slope
WrB	1	Woodbridge fine sandy loam, 0 to 8 % slope

		Tabl	e 2-2			
Prime	Farmland	Soils	Within	the	Study	Area

1=All areas are prime farmland

2=Only drained areas are prime farmland

4=Only irrigated areas are prime farmland

The soils that are irrigated or drained to be considered prime farmland are soils that are of statewide significance. Not all units of these soils are Prime and Unique Farmland if they are not identified by NRCS as being Prime and Unique Farmland. In addition,



of Maine Surficial Geology, Gorham Quadrangle, File No. 99-84 (Maine Geological Survey, 1999). This information was available through the Maine Office of GIS (OGIS). Other information sources checked as part of the documentation process provided the same soils data. These data sources include the Maine Geological Survey, the Natural Resources Information and Mapping Center, and the Casco Bay Estuary Project, a project of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (1995).

Farmland soils are addressed in Section 2.7, page 2-20.

Summary of Existing Resources

The surficial geology of the Gorham Bypass Study Area, as described and depicted on the Surficial Geology map, File No. 99-84 indicates both marine and glacial deposits including tills occur. Marine deposits predominate in the Study Area with glacial moraines and tills located as large blocks in that portion of the Study Area located north of Gorham Village or as isolated deposits to the south. The surficial geology map information is presented on Figure 2-8 page 2-25, and includes the following deposits, and, where available, the approximate thickness of the deposit. The following deposits are described by Maine Geological Survey (1999):

- Stream alluvium Occurs as fine sands and silt with some gravel in floodplains along streams and rivers. As depicted on Figure 2-8, page 2-25 this deposit is limited to the Stroudwater River at the southern limit of the Study Area. Total acreage within the Study Area is 5.6 hectares (14 acres).
- Wetland, swamp These muck, peat, silt and sand deposits are depicted on the map as small isolated units with the largest occurring south of Gorham Village, west of Route 114. Total acreage within the Study Area is 14 hectares (35 acres).
- End Moraine These deposits occurs north of Flaggy Meadow Road, west of Cressey Road. This deposit consists of coarse gravel and sand and generally occurs in areas of glacial-marine sediments that are complexly stratified. Total area within the Study Area is 6.5 hectares (16 acres).
- End Moraine Complex These glacial deposits are primarily located north of Route 25 and are generally oriented in a south-southwest direction. Smaller deposits occur in the southern half of the Study Area. These areas described as coarse gravel, sand, till and silt; commonly over shallow bedrock. They were formed at or near ice front during retreat of marine-based glacier. They are generally less than 5 meters (16 feet) thick. Total area is 486 hectares (1,202 acres).
- Fan End Moraine Complex These deposits are located around West Gorham. A composite unit this complex incorporates elements of end moraines and subaqueous fans. A coarse to fine sand, this material overlies sediments of end moraines and end moraine complexes. Total area within the Study Area is 231 hectares (572 acres).
- Marine Nearshore Deposit These deposits are found east of Gorham Village around Mosher Corner and along Waterhouse Road. These deposits occur in small patches generally in the southeastern quadrant of the Study Area. They are



			WE	TLAND SUMM	ARY S	HEE	T WETLAND ID#: (
WETLAND DESCRIPTION							
Project: Gorham Bypass							
General description: Powerline	ROW	we	Hlands	Watershed:	5+	mou	dwater Rwer
Classifications (fed): PEMI, P	551						
Principal vegetation: sens. fern, le	JUCKH	win	, cattai	1s, meads	WALD	eot	
Soil substrate:				Hydrology:	SUM	face	2 drainage
Disturbance: Portions under pa	werlin	ne		Surrounding I	Land Us	se:	powerline Row, field and woulds
Water bodies: None			·				
Wildlife observations:							
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occur	rence	Rationale	(Question#)	Princ	ipal	Comments
	<u> </u>	<u>N</u>	Y	N	Y	N	
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge	x		13,15	12-10		<u>.</u>	Field observations noted seepase areas as well as
O Flored stores & described in the				17 - 117		<u>×</u>	surface run off. Function not valuable
2. Flood storage & desynchronization		x	345,8	13-18		Х	hittle thood storage potential, wetland small
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat		×		1,2		×	No fish or shellfish habitat available. Area lacks water bodies,
4. Sediment/toxicant retention	X		4	1-3,5-9		x	These wetlands do provide retention of sediment, etc. along powerline & roadway but sources limited
5. Nutrient retention/transformation		x	9	1,2,4-8,10		×	Potential for sources limited, Also Imitel netention.
6. Nutrient export	×		1,4,5,7	13,6,9,9		×	Limited Thous and production for exposit.
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization		×		1,3,4,73,9		X	himited water course, although regetation adequate.
8. Wildlife habitat			5,6,8,9,10	1,3,4,7,11,12	X		wetland provides habitat to surroundings with
							mixed emergent and shrub cover. w/ diverse surrounding
9. Recreation	×		9	1-8,11,12		X	Existing snearmobile paths & trails available.
10. Education/Scientific Value		x	.5	2-4, 7-10		X	No Known education or scientific values available
11. Uniqueness/Heritage		×	1	1,8-11		×	No known Unique on heritage present. Area lacks features of local significance
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics		×	23,8	9,10,11,12		×	Limited Visibility; powerline does provide contrast to surrounding woodland.
13. Endangered Species Habitat		×		1,2		X	No Known endangered greater habitat observed or noted by agancies.

ī.

			WE	TLAND SUMN	IARY	SHEE	T WETLAND ID#: 🕉
WETLAND DESCRIPTION							
Project: Gorham Bypass							
General description: 5 Thern Gull	SB	rook	trib.	Watershed:	54	roud	water Ruer
Classifications (fed): PSS (· · ·						
Principal vegetation: Speckled al	der						
Soil substrate: sand/organic				Hydrology:	inte	mit	tent stream
Disturbance: Sediment depa	nit	in st	rean (old)	Surrounding	Land l	Jse: d	old field, residential
Water bodies: anea 15 intermittent	- 577	eam	channel .				
Wildlife observations: Songbinds							
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	irrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments
	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N	
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge	~		10, 13, 15	1-5-			Upstream discharge noted
			L	7,8		×	
2. Flood storage & desynchronization	v		3,4,5	1,2,7,11,12			connected to those plain but storage availability
			·	13, - 18			is limited since wetland is channed
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat			•	1,2			although channel thow, is too low and
		X				L	Intermittent to provide aquatic habitan
4. Sediment/toxicant retention			1,2,3,4	6,789	X		Upstream sources available from road, residences and
	X		ļ		, -		field. Veq. dense but Imited flow.
5. Nutrient retention/transformation			3,6-9,11	1,2,5,6	V		Sediment and numerat retention, good potential 611
	X		12		·/		unimour sources except tield à tes, dences
6. Nutrient export			7,10,14	2-26.			Potential for export is available with frow and dense
	×			89		~	veg, Limited from reduces overall value
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization		~	2	1-8,10-14			Pense vegetation on banks provides potential but
							low frow overall valle
8. Wildlife habitat	X		5,6,8-10,13	1,3,4,7,11	×		Drainagenay provides diversity and travelway
			14, 17-19	20721,22			In vicinity. Shrus cover in contrast w/ surroundings
9. Recreation		~	9	1-0,11,12		x	Limited recreational opportunity available
		*					In wetland
10. Education/Scientific Value		x	5	2-4, 7-10		×	No known educational or scientitic value,
							Inited accessibility
11. Uniqueness/Heritage		×	1,2	3-26		×	No known unique or heritage features present,
							area lads tectures of local significance
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics	x		6	1,3-12		~	Visible from waterhouse head and does provide
							Visual contrast but averall access is I mited
13. Endangered Species Habitat				1,2	-		No knowin endangered species observed in field
		×					or noted by agaicies.

1

			WE	TLAND SUMM	ARY S	SHEET	۲ WETLAND ID#: رجع المحالي المح
WETLAND DESCRIPTION							
Project: Gorham Bypass							
General description: Lower Gu	Ily P	vook	.Trib.	Watershed:	str	bud	water Power
Classifications (fed): DSSI, P	FOI						
Principal vegetation: Red maple, 30-	ft ru	sh. 9	oldennol				·
Soil substrate:		, ,		Hydrology:	•		
Disturbance:				Surrounding	Land L	Jse:	
Water bodies: Non 2; Arainage	+06	Ily B	rook				
Wildlife observations:							
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	rrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments
	. Y	Ν	Y		a ₀Y	N	
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge			13,15	2-10			Upper Init of Gully Brook side channel.
	X					$ \times$	Groundwatte breakout observed in upper end.
2. Flood storage & desynchronization			3,4.5	1,2,7,11,12			Lottle field Storage outentred. wetland
		×		13-19		×	Small and part of channel - traited value,
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat				1,2			No fish or shell fish habitet available.
		X				X	Area lacks water bodies
4. Sediment/toxicant retention			1,2,3,4	6,7,8,9		~	Limited selmant sources upshape or adjacent
		X	,	/		X	field. No watercourse.
5. Nutrient retention/transformation			3.4,5.8	1,2,			Potential instruct sources in field but limited opportunity
	×.						No watercause to transform
6. Nutrient export			478	6,9,11			Potential for expert but little opportunity
-		×	. ,				since no flow available.
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization			2,5	1,3,6,7			Negetated channel provides opportunity for
				8,9,10,11			Stabilization. No velocity on Fittle flow.
8. Wildlife habitat			1,345,67	9,19,11,12,	×		Diverse habitat, provides travelway - Good upland
				23			habitat adjacant.
9. Recreation	1.2	X	9	1-8,11,12			No existing recreational trails. adjacent
						<u> </u>	Nesi dence - Imitted a ceess
10. Education/Scientific Value		x	5	2,3,4,7,710		1	No known educational or scientific value, and
		· · ·		11-13			lack of access to site inits values.
11. Uniqueness/Heritage			1,2	3-26			No known unique or heritage teatures present. Area
		X					Tacks teatures of local significance for bio, teatures
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics		X	.6	1,3-12			Area not visible or accessible.
		-\			L		A
13. Endangered Species Habitat		×		1,2		1	No Known endangered greases habitat observed
							ur speaks noted on agences

	,		WE	TLAND SUMM	IARY	SHEE	T WETLAND ID#: 4			
WETLAND DESCRIPTION		•				Paralana (1997)				
Project: Gorham Bypass										
General description: Isolated we	tland	0		Watershed: *	Stro	<u>udu</u>	ater River			
Classifications (fed): PEM										
Principal vegetation: red mouple,	504	t rush	sensitive	ren.						
Soil substrate:		1		Hydrology:	Hydrology: isolated areas, surface runof					
Disturbance: old logging dist.	irbai	nce	in area	Surrounding	Land l	Jse: .	forested			
Water bodies: hone										
Wildlife observations:										
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	rrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments			
	Y	N	Y	N	Y	<u>N</u>				
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge		×		7, 9, 9, 10		× ····	No groundwatt discharge noted. The basing too			
		~				<u> </u>	Small to contribute recharge			
2. Flood storage & desynchronization		×	5,8	1,4,6,9-713			Too small to provide storage or desynchronization.			
					<u> </u>	\vdash	No and the heartest Unite any series and			
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat		×		1-2		X	hobbit I mat cotested values No 4 stor Gurse			
4 Sediment/toxicant retention	┼───┤		5 .	13.			Leve of a discent everen mut values			
4. Ocdiment toxicalit retendent		Χ.	-,	175		X	No intercourse and to a to set			
5. Nutrient retention/transformation	++			1,2,4,58	\	 	LIMITED Sources Upstreams			
		×		Ħ		X	Not associated with a watercourse.			
6. Nutrient export	++			2,3,6,			No outlet on flushing potential limit this			
		X		, , , ,		X	function			
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization				1,3,7,8			No potential flow for stabilization purposes.			
		· X		9,10,11		X	No water course!			
8. Wildlife habitat			3,4,5,7	•	N		Isolated wetland with unknown vernal pool value.			
	X				<u>^</u>		Limited disturbance and surrounding habitat increase value			
9. Recreation		X	5.	1,2,6-10			Limited access to site, small size of wetlands			
		<u> </u>				X	limit potential unitization			
10. Education/Scientific Value			5,	1,3,6-10			Limited access to area limit existing potentials			
		<u> </u>		-		X	Potentral 150 lated wetland hubitats wind te of value			
11. Uniqueness/Heritage		x	13,16,19	1-12		1	Most unique features initied, access very limitede			
	↓									
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics		5	5,10	1-4,6,7		\mathbf{x}	No access or visibility, Limited contrast to			
	 	<u> </u>					Sumoundings			
13. Endangered Species Habitat		×		1,2		X	No known endangered species observed in area			
				,		L	or noted by agencies			

· · ·			WET	LAND SUMM	ARY S	SHEET	WETLAND ID#: 5				
WETLAND DESCRIPTION											
Project: Gorham Bypass				مەر بەر بەر بەر بەر بەر بەر بەر بەر بەر ب		····	14				
General description: Main Branc	h-G	ully	Brook	Watershed:	St	noud	Lucoter River				
Classifications (fed):											
Principal vegetation: Sphasnum.	Red	d ma	de. hem	ILOCK							
Soil substrate: Sand			,	Hydrology:	Inci	sed	stream channel 12-15-11 wide, >-B"deep				
Disturbance: frails Surrounding Land Use: treaded, development adjacent (recent)											
Water bodies: Gully Brook							0				
Wildlife observations: fracts											
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	rrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments				
	<u>Y</u>	. N	<u> </u>	<u>N</u>	Y	N					
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge	X		7,13,15	1-3,5,9,12	\mathbf{v}		well channelized but smucus brock.				
					<u> </u>		Potential duscharge from banks.				
2. Flood storage & desynchronization			1,4,6,7,8	14	~		Channelized stream within high banked stopes.				
	×		9,19,		~		Total storage value un Known but Valuelde				
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat			1, 2, 3, 4,7	Þ			Area inclustes Gully Brook. W/ 52-15" unde				
	X		18,12,14,17		X		channel. Minnows (?) observed !				
4. Sediment/toxicant retention			1,3,4,10,11	5,7,13	\.		Higher velocities may imili value but deposition				
			•		×		15 evident				
5. Nutrient retention/transformation		×	2, 3, 4, 6,7	9,10,11		\checkmark	United vegetation and limited perential tor				
		<i>r</i>	1/10/11	13,14,15		<u> </u>	attended to be appeting the topology +				
6. Nutrient export			1,6,10,1	2,3		×	Instrum presents opportunity to reporting.				
			1 22/7	1 9 10 11			a conclutiont endiale Adjust chart it				
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	×		8,12,13	7, 1, 10,11	x		channel that the fire stage and solt of plant				
			34.90	1002			Community Valuate so strong saturation.				
8. Wildlife habitat	X			1,10,11,02,	×		communities w/ valuable volgent adjacent				
0. Peoreotion			45671	1910			The solution of a strange a strange is with				
9. Recleation	X		12,14	.,,,,,,,	X		Faisting point of sister war a provide a construction				
10 Education/Scientific Value			4.5.11	1,23678	· · · ·		the yards of existing ward, recreating of the				
TO. Education/Scientific Value	X		(12)	9,10		×	Provide poppertunity				
11 Uniquenese /Heritage			2567911	1.34 13 16			Potent & accel to cite available Little Known				
The offiqueness/Hentage	X	<i>v</i> .	16,17	20 21 2374		\times	herotyle features I mut sater value.				
12 Visual Quality/Aesthetics			2.5	20,01,0,01			hinted usual access although sire is accessible from				
			8,9,10		15	X	adjacent site.				
13. Endangered Species Habitat		~		12			No Known endangered species observed in the				
							field or noted by agencies.				
1		L		1	ł	L	Lange 1				

			WE	TLAND SUMN	IARY	SHEE	T WETLAND ID#: 6
WETLAND DESCRIPTION							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Project: Gorham Bypass			-				
General description: Lavae wetter	nd s	iosth	of 202	Watershed:	5++	roud	water River
Classifications (fed):							
Principal vegetation: red mysle c	177.fr	in, se	ns.forn,	ellow bir	ch	,	
Soil substrate: hummocity 5-	or fac	e'		Hydrology:			
Disturbance: surrounding area	ndud	es de	vel./trails	Surrounding	Land l	Jse: r	esidential, JUNK yard
Water bodies: hone							
Wildlife observations:							
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	irrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments
	Y	N	Y	<u>N</u>	<u>Y</u>	N	γ
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge			5	3,4,6-10		x	apparently fed by ground water / surtance month.
		ļ	1.1.5.0.0	13-10			Sandy sous.
2. Flood storage & desynchronization		x	1,7,5,8,9	1,13,15		X	Lack of outlet mins porchan realized for
	<u> </u>			14,16			to offsore wetheren & streams, libras may be isdoted.
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat		×		1, 2		\times	Do permanent standing water in weitand
4. Sediment/toxicant retention			1,2,4	3,			Lack of water course limits potential, spontunity
		X	, ,		•** •	\times	for sedments to entergate limited.
5. Nutrient retention/transformation		×	1,5,7	2,3		X	hack of water course (mits opportunity for attenuation.
6 Nutriant avport			147			<u> </u>	No popultinity for a transformer further
o. Numeric export		٢	·, ·, ·	,		X	with no watercourse.
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization			3 .	1,2,5,6,7			No they or watercourse
		1	·)	9, 10,11,17		X	
8. Wildlife habitat			5,7,8,11,14	1,3,4,9,12			Piversity of wetland and adjuicent upland habitets
					X		Contribute to wellige vicines.
9. Recreation			4,5	1,2,68,9			Trank crosses Through the site. Recreational
	\times		,	10,			Opportunity exists, opportunity for paulay unknown.
10. Education/Scientific Value			5,.	1,3,4,6,7,		\mathbf{V}	Access un Kinowin with educational Value also
		X		a service of the second			limited by lack of unique features
11. Uniqueness/Heritage			1,2	3-26			No Known ingse or heritage teatures present.
·		٦.				X	Asla lacks features of local significance.
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics			1.	1,3-12			Finited visibility Does not provide
		X	~			X	Visual contrast to surroundings.
13. Endangered Species Habitat		×		1,2		X	No endangered species observed or noted
							by agencies
Note: Foderal functions derived from ACOFIE O		Descrit	Amelia 4000	`			

			WET	LAND SUMM	ARY S	HEET	WETLAND ID#:				
WETLAND DESCRIPTION											
Project: Gorham Bypass											
General description: Westland bet.	veen 1	Racen	con & Flaggy	Watershed:	pres	Ump	seatt Rwar				
Classifications (fed): PFOL, PEMI		-	meadou	<u>ي</u>							
Principal vegetation: red maple	emei	rgent	s by Flan	154 Mead	ows a	local					
Soil substrate:				Hydrology:	Brow	dy	Brook, overland flow from South, groundweiter likely				
Disturbance: old track owen	, di	sturk	sauce our	Surrounding l	_and L	lse: ^J -	farmland (nw), forested, track/fields(w)				
Water bodies: v	/	e	2st sale	م د م در به معرو	e**						
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	rrence	Rationale ((Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments				
	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Ν					
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge	×		13	1-12,14	x	×	Upshope discharge noted at edges of wetland				
2. Flood storage & desynchronization	×		5,6,7-10	12,3.11-19	x		hange, well vegetated wetternal with constructed orthet with good potential for storage.				
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat	$\left \right\rangle$		1,2			¥	unknown potential. Finge tund youd hydrology but lack water body reducing value				
4. Sediment/toxicant retention	X		2,3,4,5,7,8	•	×		Good potential for sed & tox. Thapping with diffuse flow, alignent rough, development & farming.				
5. Nutrient retention/transformation	×		1,3,4,5,6		×		Sources associated with adjacent form (and, with Dense vegetation & aquatic diversity to UTI 1122				
6. Nutrient export	×		1,2,4,7,3		7.	×	Potential for export exists but potential for flishing Unknown				
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	×		2,3,4,6	5,7,10,11		×	Dense vegetation for bour velocities and lack of bounce				
8. Wildlife habitat	×		5,6,7,9,9,13	1,3,10,11,12	×		Large wettend with good interspersion in surrounding area, Large Unfragmented area to west.				
9. Recreation	×		5,7,12	1, 2, 8, 10		×	Limited accessibility away from Raceway but potential for trails de				
10. Education/Scientific Value	· .	×	2,5,3,	7,8,9,10		X	No Known advantion on scientific value except habitat				
11. Uniqueness/Heritage		×	5,7,	1,3,4,8,9		Х	No Known heritage teatures. Potential End. Species off site. Good viewing but very dense area.				
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics	×	, ·	1,2,3			X	Portions highly visible from Flagsey Menden Road.				
13. Endangered Species Habitat		×		1,2		×	Unknown. No endagered greater observed in frell, Maintain laured observed off site				

			WE	TLAND SUMM	IARY :	SHEE	T WETLAND ID#: 18			
WETLAND DESCRIPTION		·								
Project: Gorham Bypass			-							
General description: Brandy B	DOIL			Watershed:	Pme.	um	oscott River			
Classifications (fed): PFOI PF	mi									
Principal vegetation: Rmaple sens	there f	ern		· · · · ·			· · ·			
Soil substrate:				Hydrology: streams & drainaseways						
Disturbance: Includes narrow of	Around	ase wa	up	Surrounding	Land L	Jse:	residential openfield, woodland			
Water bodies: Brandy Br	0016									
Wildlife observations:										
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	rrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments			
	Y	Ν	Y	N	Y	Ν				
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge	X		1,2,0,13	12,14	×		appears to be discharge at edges of wetland			
2. Flood storage & desynchronization	X		7,8,10,13	1,3,4,6		\star	Drainge channel too narrow to provide value for storige except under high values.			
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat		×	1,2			X	Watercourse intermittent limiting habitut values.			
4. Sediment/toxicant retention	×		1,2,3,10,	7,9,		×	Harrow channel. with small areas of impoundment too provide imital storage.			
5. Nutrient retention/transformation		X	3, 6, 12, 19	1,2,8,10		×	Limited vegetation density and opportunity for notrient UTILIZation.			
6. Nutrient export		×	4,	1,2,6,8,9,13		×	Unichown' occurrence of "fushing" of nutrients. Littlifed development of detailing in westand available.			
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	×		1,2,3,5,7	6, 10, 4	X		Potentially high velocities provide opportunity			
8. Wildlife habitat	×		5,7, '	1,4,9,13,14		X	Available habitat values immited by distributed area, "narrow water course and lack of diversity			
9. Recreation		×		1,2,3,5,7		X	himited access, parting or travel ense on site. One driveway crosses wetland,			
10. Education/Scientific Value		×		1,2,3,4,5		X	Provides Inuted access or educational value,			
11. Uniqueness/Heritage		×	2, "	13,7-6,9		×	Limitel significance of disturbed wetland anea. Limited accessibility.			
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics		×		1,2,7,45		X	himited visibility and access to wetland.			
13. Endangered Species Habitat		X		1,2	·" .	×	No Known enlangered greates habitat observed			

nalara ya 1919 mwana a sa ca a sa sa ca a sa ca a sa ca			WET	LAND SUMM	ARY S	HEET	WETLAND ID#: 😋			
WETLAND DESCRIPTION										
Project: Gorham Bypass				·····						
General description: Westande of	Litt	le Ri	ver train.	Watershed:	P.p.	SUMI	south River			
Classifications (fed): PEML PEC	21									
Principal vegetation: grasses soft,	Nsh									
Soil substrate:				Hydrology: SURFACE DRAINAGE AND ASSOCIATED INTERMITTENT STREAM						
Disturbance: field	,		· •	Surrounding L	and U	lse: A	G FIELD AND LIMITED HOUSING ALONG HIGHWAY			
Water bodies: Little Ruen to	east	-, ad	ja cent	<u></u>						
Wildlife observations: SONG BIRDS,	PATR	IDGE,	OFFR TRAC	K/SCAT, FRO	65					
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	rrence	Rationale (Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments			
	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Ν				
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge			1	2 4 50.0		1	PARENT SOIL MATERIAL CONSISTS OF			
		X		3,4,5,8,13		X	MARINE SEDIMENTS WO STRATIFIED SAND/GRAVEL			
2. Flood storage & desynchronization			2,3,4,7,6	L		V	LARGE WETLAND AREA W/ SMALL OUTLET			
	X						LOW POSITION OF FIELD PROVIDE STORAGE AREA.			
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat	-	\succ		1,2		\times	No fish or shell fish habitat cwallable.			
4. Sediment/toxicant retention	X		2,3,4,7,8	1,9	X		HEADWATER AREA HAS A STATE HIGHWAY THAT IS SALTED IN WINTER. PORTIONS OF WETLAND AREA RETAIN WATER OR GONG DURATIONS -			
5. Nutrient retention/transformation	×		3,4,5,6,7 8,9,11,12	1,2,10	×		AG LWD POTENTIAL SOURCE OF NUTRIENTS.			
6. Nutrient export		\succ	1,4,5,7	2,3,6,9		×	himited flows and publity to export.			
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization		1	· .	1-19		×	No channel or watercourse to stabilize			
							Emorgent vegetation present for stebilization			
8. Wildlife habitat	X		3,5,8,11,13, 14,16,17,18, 19,20,21	1,4,6,12,23		\mathbf{X}	CONDINATION OF HABITATS PROVIDED BY TRANSITION ZONES BETWEN, FIELDS, SS, EM AND FOREST, VARIOUS FOOD SUPPLIES AND HESTING/BREEDING OPPORTUNITIES			
9. Recreation		×				7	Noacan			
10. Education/Scientific Value		×	5	2-4,7-10		X	NO Known & ducation or scientific values			
11. Uniqueness/Heritage		X	7	1,9-11 13		X	No Known unique er heritage features presant.			
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics	×		1,2,3,5,7 8,11,	4,6,9,10,12		X	FROM THE HIGHWAY THE VIEWSHED INGLUDES OPEN FIELDS FRAMED BY WETLAND AREAS.			
13. Endangered Species Habitat				1,2	1 - 1 - 1	X	NO OBSERVED DURING STUDY			

and the second sec

			WET	LAND SUMM	ARY	SHEE	r WETLAND ID#: 10
WETLAND DESCRIPTION							
Project: Gorham Bypass			·				
General description: 1,++1, Curer	Chi	05511	<u>र</u>	Watershed:	Pres	sun	swith River
Classifications (fed): PEMI PEO	. 1	-	<u>.</u>				
Principal vegetation: Secol, red	may	de					
Soil substrate:		·····	***************************************	Hydrology:	rive	r	
Disturbance:				Surrounding I	_and L	Jse:	wood and fields (west)
Water bodies: Little River							
Wildlife observations:			•		1999 - 1999 -		
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	irrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments
	Y	Ν	Y	N	Y	N.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge	X		2,6,7,8,12	3,4,5,13,6	×	а 1.	DISCHARCE IS NOTED BY SEEPS AT RIVER. THE TOE OF NEARBY SLOPES
2. Flood storage & desynchronization	X		3,7,6	1,5		×	A LIMITE AREA NEAR THE RIVER IS CAPABLE OF STORING FLOOD WATER.
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat	X		2,3,4,	1.	×	the second se	THE RIVERIES PERENNIAL FLOW THAT SUPPORT A WIDE RANCE OF AQUATIC SPECIES AND IS ACCESSABLE FOR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES LIKE FISHING.
4. Sediment/toxicant retention	×		2,4,8,10,14	1,3,5,7,11,		X	THE AREAS ON BOTH SIDES OF THE RIVER, WHILE UMITED IN SIZE PROVIDED FOR SEDIMENT RETENTION DURING ANNUAL FLOOD ENENTS
5. Nutrient retention/transformation		\times	2, 1, 7, 8	1,3,5,6,10	1	X	THE SMALL WETLAND ARGAS HAVE LIMITED FUNCTIONS FOR RET/TRANS DUE TO THE INTERMITTENT BURATION OF FLOODING GENERALLY REFORE THE GROWING SEASON
6. Nutrient export	X		1,2,4,6,7 8,9,12,13	3,5,19,11	Х		RIVER FLOW TRANPORTS NUTRIENTS
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	X		12,3,5,6	4	X		PLANT MATERIALS ON EMBANK MENT STABILIZE SOIL ALONG THE RIVERS BANKS
8. Wildlife habitat	×		1,2,3,4,6,	5,9,10,12	×		THE COMBINATION OF THE RIVER, BANK, EM, SS, FO WETC. OFFER A RANGE OF WILDLIFE HABITATS AT THIS LOCATION ,
9. Recreation	×		2,3.4,5, 6,8,12	1,7,9,10,11	×		HIKING AND FISHING ARE ACTIVITIES PROVIDED BY THE FIVER AND EMBANKMENT.
10. Education/Scientific Value	X		2,4,5,9,	1.3,7,8		X	A TYPKAL "(ROSS SECTION" OF A WETLAND SYSTEM CAN BE OBSERVED IN A RELATIVELY SHALL AREA.
11. Uniqueness/Heritage	X		2,4,6,7, 8,11,12,16	3,9,10,14		X	A COMBINATION OF HABITAT AND WETLAND TYPES ARE PROVIDED IN THIS AREA.
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics	×		1,2,3,5,7, 8,10,11	4,9,12		X	QUIET AND HABITAT RICH WITH A FLOWING STREAM
3. Endangered Species Habitat		×	· ·	1,2		×	NONE OBSERVED DURING STUDY OR NOTED

WEILAND DESCRIPTION Project: Gorham Bypass General description: Zeat Subc. (ed): PEAN L Principal vegetation: Suirounding Land Use: Soil substrate: Hydrology: Disturbance: old_{10} cools vout Water bodies: No Wildlife observations: Comments Y N Y 1. Groundwater recharge/discharge X $7, 1, 7, 12$ $3, 4, 11$ X Water Addes: Surrounding Land Use: To Surrounding Land Use: T		WETLAND SUMMARY SHEET WETLAND ID#: 🙌						
Project Gorham Bypass General description: Zast 5.0e d_1 and $g_0 \leq 1$. (Note: Classifications (fed): PEAL Watershed: Peasungs cott: Zuver Classifications (fed): PEAL Hydrology: SURFACE DRAINAGE Disturbance: Old works works Solis ubstrate: Hydrology: SURFACE DRAINAGE Disturbance: Old works works Surrounding Land Use: f_{12} , g_{12} , g_{12} , g_{12} Valer bodies: n.On.Q. Wildlife observations: Comments FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT Cocurrence V N Y Y N Y Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N A Principal Comments S. Fish and Shelifish Habitat X 1,2,5,1,7	WETLAND DESCRIPTION							
General description: Zeat Super drawners.United R.Watershed: Principal regetation: Sol substrate:Hydrology: SurFACE DRAINAGESoll substrate:Hydrology: SurFACE DRAINAGEDisturbane:Surrounding Land Use: Functional data reachWater bodies: n_{OVQ} .Wildlife observations:YFUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENTOccurrence CourrenceRationale (Question#)Principal PrincipalI. Groundwater recharge/dischargeXZ. Flood storage & desynchronizationXZ. Flood storage & desynchronizationXS. Nutrient retention/transformationXS. Nutrient retention/transformationXS. Nutrient exportXS. Sediment/Sobreline StabilizationXJ. J. S. G. TJ. J. S. G. TS. RecreationXS. S. Hutter tetention/transformationXS. S. Hutter tetention/transformationXS. S. Hutter tetention/transformation	Project: Gorham Bypass							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Classifications (fed): P_{EM} (Principal vegetation: Solicultation: Solicultation: Surrounding Land Use: Disturbance: $p_{10}p_{21}p_{21}p_{21}p_{11}$ Surrounding Land Use: $p_{10}p_{21}p_{21}p_{11}$ Wildlife observations: FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT PUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT Occurrence Rationale (Question#) Principal V N Y N Stational Shellish Stational Counce and Shellish Stational Shellish Stational Shellish Statinetete	General description: East side dr	aina	25- L	ttle R.	Watershed:	Pres	sunp	scott River
Principal vegetation: Soll substrate: Disturbance: OL Water bodies: $n_0 Q$ Water bodies: $n_0 Q$ Wildlife observations: FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT Occurrence Rationale (Question#) Principal Comments Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N Y N S. Flohd storage & desynchronization X $2,3,5,5,1,3,4,1,15$ X Linstrate releation/transformation X $1,3,5,7,7$ X Uniformation in cumule, include. S. Nutrient retention/transformation X $5,7$ $1,2,3,9,9$	Classifications (fed): PEM 1	د.						
Soli substrate: Hydrology: SJQFACE D ALBAGE Disturbance: OUQ Surrounding Land Use: $f_{DPS}f_{eQ}Q$ Wildlife observations: FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT Occurrence: Rationale (Question#) Principal Comments 1. Groundwater recharge/discharge X 7 , 1, 9, 12 3 , 9, 11 X WETCAND MARCHINS Exhibit OSSENANCE D with THE 2. Flood storage & desynchronization X 2 , 3 , 5 , 5 , 1 , 4 , 7 , 8 X Envited flood storage & desynchronization 3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat X $1/2$ X Montabular or under	Principal vegetation:	•			an a	·** •		
Disturbance: Outcome Surrounding Land Use: for establistic Water bodies: $n_{0,1/2}$ Wildlife observations: FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT Occurrence Rationale (Question#) Principal Comments 1. Groundwater recharge/discharge X $7, 9, 12$ $3, 4, 11$ X AREAS OF SLOPE TOES ASSECTATED with The 2. Flood storage & desynchronization X $2, 3, 5, 9$ $1, 4, 7, 8$ X Limited flood, forments 3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat X $1, 2, 5, 7, 1, 4, 7, 8$ X Limited flood, forments cancellable. 4. Sediment/toxicant retention X $2, 3, 5, 7, 1, 4, 7, 8$ X Limited flood, forments cancellable. 4. Sediment/toxicant retention/transformation X $5, 7, 7, 1, 2, 3, 9, 9$ X Limited opportunity 5. Nutrient retention/transformation X $5, 7, 7, 1, 2, 3, 9, 9$ X Limited of development of production on threadown. 6. Nutrient export X $1, 2, 5, 7, 6, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 3, 9, 9$ X Limited opportunity Superson to production. 7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization X $1, 2, 5, 7, 6, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 3, 1, 3, 1, 3, 1, 3, 1, 5, 7, 6, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 4, 7, 1, $	Soil substrate:				Hydrology: S	URF	ACE	PRAINAGE
Water bodies: n_{012} Wildlife observations:OccurrenceRationale (Question#)PrincipalComments1. Groundwater recharge/dischargeX $7, 1, 9, 12$ $3, 4, 11$ XAREAS OF SLOPE TO ES ASSOCIATED with THE2. Flood storage & desynchronizationX $2, 3, 5, 9$ $1, 4, 7, 8$ XLimited flood storage availability3. Fish and Shellfish HabitatX $1, 2, 7, 7, 12$ XWorknown in pt of Selfmart or variability4. Sediment/toxicant retentionX $1, 2, 5, 7, 9$ $1, 4, 7, 8$ X5. Nutrient retention/transformationX $1, 2, 7, 7, 9$ XMonotoxin in pt of Selfmart our variability6. Nutrient exportX $1, 2, 3, 9, 9$ XHunted opportunityFor variability opportunity7. Sediment/Shoreline StabilizationX $1, 2, 5, 7, 6, 10, 11, 12$ XLimited variability of production8. Wildlife habitatX $1, 2, 5, 7, 6, 10, 11, 12$ XLimited variability of production9. RecreationX $1, 2, 5, 7, 6, 7, 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 13$ XLimited variability of production9. RecreationX $1, 2, 5, 7, 6, 7, 9, 13$ XLimited variability of production9. RecreationX $1, 2, 5, 7, 6, 7, 1, 2, 12, 2, 7, 7, 13$ XLimited variability of production9. RecreationX $1, 2, 5, 7, 6, 7, 1, 2, 12, 2, 7, 7, 13$ XLimited variability of production9. RecreationX $1, 2, 5, 7, 7, 1, 2, 12, 7, 7, 7, 13$ XLimited variability of production10. Educat	Disturbance: old woods woods.		,		Surrounding I	and L	Jse:	foresterl,
Wildlife observations:FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENTOccurrenceRationale (Question#)PrincipalComments1. Groundwater recharge/dischargeXNYNANETADO MARG-IANS EXHIBUT ONCHARGE3. Fish and Shellfish HabitatX1,2,5,7,7,9XUnitical Colspan="4">Colspan="4"Colspan="4"Colspan="4"Colspan="4"	Water bodies: none							
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENTOccurrence YRationale (Question#) YPrincipal YComments1. Groundwater recharge/discharge 2. Flood storage & desynchronizationX $7, 1, 9, 12$ $3, 7, 11$ XAREAS OF SLOPE TO ES ASSOCIATED with The WETLAND MARGIANS EXHIBIT OSCURAGE 2. Flood storage & desynchronizationX $2, 7, 5, 9$ $1, 2, 14, 15$ AREAS OF SLOPE TO ES ASSOCIATED with The WETLAND MARGIANS EXHIBIT OSCURAGE 2. Flood storage & desynchronizationX $2, 7, 5, 9$ $1, 2, 14, 15$ AREAS OF SLOPE TO ES ASSOCIATED with The WETLAND MARGIANS EXHIBIT OSCURAGE 2. Flood storage & desynchronization3. Fish and Shellfish HabitatX $2, 7, 5, 9$ $1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9$ $1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9$ XImittel flood storage available.4. Sediment/toxicant retentionX $2, 7, 5, 9$ $1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 7$ XImittel opportunity for retention in clummel, Imittel opportunity. $Contraction on truncoform.due to tacked of uses. StorageContraction on truncoform.Contraction on truncoform.6. Nutrient exportX1, 1, 7, 9, 7, 9, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7,$	Wildlife observations:							
YNYNYN1. Groundwater recharge/dischargeX $7, 1, 9, 12, 3, 4, 11$ XAREAS OF SLOPE TOES ASSECTATED with THE WETLAND MARGIANS EXHIBIT ORSCHARGE2. Flood storage & desynchronizationX $2, 3, 5, 9, 9, 1, 4, 7, 8, 13, 14, 15$ XDurited flood storage availability Since area is extended dramagicanapp3. Fish and Shellfish HabitatX $1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 9, 1, 4, 7, 8, 13, 14, 15$ XDurited flood storage availability Since area is extended dramagicanapp4. Sediment/toxicant retentionX $1, 2, 5, 7, 9, 1, 4, 7, 8, 7, 12, 5, 9, 9, 14, 15$ XDurited orgation in obstate available.5. Nutrient retention/transformationX $5, 7, 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 7, 12, 3, 8, 9, 7, 7, 7, 8, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7, 7,$	FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	rrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments
1. Groundwater recharge/dischargeX7, 1, 9, 123, 4, 11XAREAS OF SLOPE TO ESA ASSECTATED WITH THE WETLAND MARGIANS EXHIBIT OSCUMACE.2. Flood storage & desynchronizationX $2,3,5,6,1$ $1,4,7,8$ $1,2,1,15$ XLimited flood gtorage availability3. Fish and Shellfish HabitatX $2,3,5,7,9$ $1,4,7,8$ $1,2,1,15$ XLimited flood gtorage available.4. Sediment/toxicant retentionX $1,2,5,7,9$ $1,12,7,9,9$ XUniformation in pt of selemant sources, Limited opportunity5. Nutrient retention/transformationX $5,7$ $1,2,3,9,9$ $1,2,5,7,7$ XLimited opportunity for retention or transform. Uniformation at transform. Uniformation6. Nutrient exportX $1,3,6,7,7$ $1,2,5,9,7$ XLimited opportunity for retention or transform. Uniformation7. Sediment/Shoreline StabilizationX $1,2,5,7$ $0,0,11,12$ $1,3,4,5,7$ XLimited opportunity for retention purposes $1,2,5,7,7$ 8. Wildlife habitatX $1,3,4,5,7$ $8,11,13,14,6$ XLimited retention PROVIDE accurate purpose $1,2,5,7,7,7,1,2,7,8,7,7,8,7,7,1,2,7,8,7,7,1,2,7,8,7,7,1,2,7,8,7,7,1,2,7,8,7,7,1,2,7,8,7,7,8,7,7,1,2,7,8,7,$		Y	N	Υ	N	Y	N	
XG,XWETLAND MARGINUS EXILIGIT OSCILARGE2. Flood storage & desynchronizationX $2,3,5,9$ $1,4,7,8$ XImited flood storage availability3. Fish and Shellfish HabitatX $1,2$ XImited flood storage available domination of selmouts available.4. Sediment/toxicant retentionX $1,2$ XMontaburg available.5. Nutrient retention/transformationX $5,7$ $1,2,3,9,9$ XUnified version in other work available.6. Nutrient exportX $1,2,3,9,9$ XFlow that opportunity for retention on traveofum.7. Sediment/Shoreline StabilizationX $1,2,5,7$ $6,19,11,12$ XFlow that legistra for stabilization of production9. RecreationX $3,5,4,7$ $1,2,7,9,7$ XLimited version for stabilization proposes9. RecreationX $3,5,4,7$ $1,2,7,9,7$ XLimited version for stabilization proposes10. Education/Scientific ValueX $1,2,7,9,7$ $1,2,7,9,7$ XLimited version for stabilization proposes11. Uniqueness/HeritageX $1,2,5,7,7$ $1,2,7,6,7$ XLimited version for stability proposes11. Uniqueness/HeritageX $1,2,5,6,7$ $1,2,7,6,7$ XLimited version for stability proposes12. Visual Quality/AestheticsX $1,2,7,6,7$ $1,2,7,6,7$ XLimited version for scale for sca	1. Groundwater recharge/discharge			7, 1, 9, 12	3,4,11			AREAS OF SLOPE TOES ASSOCIATED WITH THE
2. Flood storage & desynchronizationX $2_{13}^{2}_{3}_{5}^{2}_{5}^{6}_{5}^{1}_{23}^{2}_{14}^{1}_{15}^{15}_{5}^{7}_{5}^{7}_{5}^{7}_{5}^{7}_{5}^{1}_{12}^{1}_{13}^{1}_{15}^{15}_{5}^{7}_{5}^{5$		X		6,		<u> </u>		WETLAND MARGIANS EXHIBIT DISCHARGE
Nutrient exportX $(3,14,15)$ XSince area is assentially diministration of the product of t	2. Flood storage & desynchronization		v	2359	1,4,7,8			Einster flood storage availability
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat× $1/2$ ×No habitat or instructure available.4. Sediment/toxicant retention× $1/2$ ×No habitat or instructure available.5. Nutrient retention/transformation× $5/7$ $1/2,3,9,9$ ×Unified vegetation in churcel, limited opportunity.6. Nutrient export× $1/2,3,9,9$ ×himited opportunity for retention or transformation7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization× $1/2,5/7$ $6/19,11/12$ ×Limited vegetation8. Wildlife habitat× $1/2,5/7$ $6/19,11/12$ ×Limited vegetationNetwork opportune A stabilization9. Recreation× $3.5/4/7$ $1/2$ ×Limited vegetationNetwork opportune A stabilization9. Recreation× $3.5/4/7$ $1/2$ ×Limited access of the stabilization of the stabilization10. Education/Scientific Value× $1/2,3,6/7$ $1/2$ ×Limited access of the stabilization of the stabilization10. Education/Scientific Value× $3.5/4/7$ $1/2$ ×Limited access of the stabilization of the stabilization11. Uniqueness/Heritage× 7 $1/3,4/5$ ×No Known enduction of stabilization of the stabilization of the stabilization12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics× $7/2,3,5/2$ ×No Known enduction of stabilization of stabilization13. Endangered Species Habitat× $1/2,7,5/2$ ×No Known on veget of hearting features present.13. Endangered Species Habitat× $1/2,7,5/2$ <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>C1/1 /1</td><td>13, 14, 15</td><td></td><td></td><td>Since area is obsentially dramageways</td></t<>				C1/1 /1	13, 14, 15			Since area is obsentially dramageways
4. Sediment/toxicant retention× $1,3,5,7,9$ $(1,12$ ×Unified weight that in purphic of sediment sources, himited veright that in channel, limited opportunity.5. Nutrient retention/transformation× $5,7$ $1,2,3,2,9$ $(1,6,7,9,9)$ ×Limited opportunity for retention or transform. due to lack of very statismin channel, limited opportunity.6. Nutrient export× $1,2,3,2,9,7$ $(1,6,7,9,9)$ ×Limited opportunity for retention or transform. due to lack of very statismin or unknown.7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization× $1,2,5,7$ $(1,3,4,5,7)$ 6,×Limited very statismin or or stabilization or the stabilization or the stabilization or the stabilization8. Wildlife habitat× $8,11,23,14,$ $(1,2,3,6,7)$ ×Limited very statismin or provide a low current of provide a low current of provide a low current of the stabilization or the stabilization or the stabilization or the stabilization or the stabilization of the stabilization or the stabilization of	3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat		\star		1,2	-	×	No habitat or vateriouse available.
X $(1,12)$ XUnited vegetation in channel, limited opportunity5. Nutrient retention/transformationX $5,7$ $1,2,3,9,9$ XAlmosted opportunity for retention or transform. due to lack of veg. & slopes6. Nutrient exportX $1,2,5,7$ $1,2,3,9,7$ XHimsted opportunity for retention or transform. due to lack of veg. & slopes7. Sediment/Shoreline StabilizationX $1,2,5,7$ $6,10,11,12$ XLimited vegetation for stabilization or transformation8. Wildlife habitatX $1,2,5,7$ $6,10,11,12$ XLimited vegetation for stabilization program9. RecreationX $3,5,4,7$ $1,2,7$ $4,2,3,5,7$ $4,2,3,5,7,7$ 10. Education/Scientific ValueX $1,2,3,5,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7$	4. Sediment/toxicant retention		Ľ,		1,3,5,7,9			Unknown inpt of sedment sources,
5. Nutrient retention/transformation \times $5,7$ $1,2,3,9,9$ \times k initial opportunity for retention or transform. due to lack of use, $\&$ slopes6. Nutrient export \times $:$ $1/6,7,9,9$ \times k initial due to lack of use, $\&$ slopes7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization \times $i,2,5,7$ $6,10,11,12$ i_3 \times k initial use to stable but export of production $uknown$.8. Wildlife habitat \times $i,3,4,5,7$ $g,11,13,14$ K k initial use of production for stable lact of use of the use of production for stable acone of the use of the us			ア		11,12		×	Almited vegetation in channel, Imuted opportunity
x x	5. Nutrient retention/transformation			67	12399			Limited sportunity for retention of transform.
6. Nutrient export \times : $1/6,7,3,9$ \times From available but export of production Unknown.7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization \times $1/2,5/7$ $6/10,11/12$ 13 \times Limotel vegetation for stabilization for stabilization purposes8. Wildlife habitat \times $3/3,4,5/7$ $8/11,13,14,14,13,16,14,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,14,13,16,14,13,16,14,14,13,16,14,13$			X	-11				due to tack of ver, & slopes
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization \times $1_{j2,5,7}$ $6_{j,10,11,12}$ \times L_{imstel} L_{imstel} T_{orstab} $U_{izdation}$ p_{orstab} 8. Wildlife habitat \times $1_{j3,4,5,7}$ 6_{i} \times L_{imstel} L_{imstel} T_{orstab} L_{instel} L_{instel} I_{orstab} L_{instel} I_{orstab} I_{ors	6. Nutrient export		~	:	1,6,7,3,9		X	From available but export of production
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization \times $(1,2,5,7)$ $(1,0,1,1)$ \times $Limited velocities for the ultration for stabilization for stabili$			~	126-	1 10 11 12			Unknown.
8. Wildlife habitat× $1,3,4,5,7,6,$ G,×A SERIES OF LONG AND NARROW ALONG WITH A LARCER MORE BROAD WETLAND PROVIDE A COMB- INATION OF PRODUTUE WELLAND PROVIDE A COMB- INATION OF PRODUTUE WELLAND PROVIDE A LARCER MORE BROAD WETLAND PROVIDE A COMB- INATION OF PRODUTUE WELLAND PROVIDE A RECREATIONAL USE9. Recreation× $3.5,4,7$ $1,2$ ×HUNTING, HIKING, AND VISUAL QUALTIES PROVIDE RECREATIONAL USE10. Education/Scientific Value× $1,2,3,6,7$ ×No Known educational on scientific Values, Limited a CLESS. Limited Values, Limited a CLESS. Limited Values,11. Uniqueness/Heritage× 7 $1,3,4,5$ ×No Known Unique of hearting features present.12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics× $1,2,3,5,6$ $7,8,10,11$ $9,12$ ×SEVERAL POINTS W/1THE COMBINED STOTEM PROVIDE AESTHETIC VIEWS HEDS.13. Endangered Species Habitat× $1,2$ ×Now observed Provide Storey	7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization		×	5,6,2	6, 10, 11, 12		X	Limited vegetation for she unzar an porposes
9. RecreationX $3.5/4.7$ $1.2.$ XHUNTING, HIKING, AND VISUAL QUALTIES PROVIDE RECREATIONAL USE10. Education/Scientific ValueX $3.5/4.7$ $1.2.$ XHUNTING, HIKING, AND VISUAL QUALTIES PROVIDE RECREATIONAL USE10. Education/Scientific ValueX $1.72,3,6,7$ XNo Known educational on scientific Values, Limited access. Limited values,11. Uniqueness/HeritageX7 $1.3,4,5$ XNo Known Unique of heritage features present.12. Visual Quality/AestheticsX $7,8,10,11$ $9,12$ XSEVERAL POINTS $W/_1$ THE COMBINED SYSTEM PROVIDE AESTHETIC VIEW SHEDS.13. Endangered Species HabitatX 1.2 XNow observed Dorive study	8. Wildlife habitat	X		1,3,4,5,7,	6,	×		A SERIES OF LONG AND NARROW ALONG WITH A LARGER MORE BROAD WETLAND PROVIDE A COMB-
S. Recleation X 3.5,4,7 1.2,7 X Recreational or scentific value 10. Education/Scientific Value X 1,2,3,6,7 X No Known educational or scentific Values, 11. Uniqueness/Heritage X 7 1,3,4,5 X No Known un rave or heritage features present. 12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics X 1,2,3,5,6 X SEVERAL POINTS W/THE COMBINED SYSTEM PROVIDE 13. Endangered Species Habitat X 1,2 X Now observed Purchase Study	0. Represention			1719	1.7			HUNDTING HIKING AND HIGHAL CONTINES PROMOR
10. Education/Scientific Value X I, Z, 3, 6, 7 X No Known educational on scientific Values, 11. Uniqueness/Heritage X 7 I, 3, 4, 5 X No Known unrave or heritage features present. 12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics X I, 2, 3, 5, 6 X SEVERAL POINTS W/THE COMBINED SYSTEM PROVIDE 13. Endangered Species Habitat X I, 2 X Now observed Points Story	9. Recleation	\times		3.5,4,1	1, 2,		X	RECREATIONAL USE
X 8,11+14,1516 X Limited access. Limited values. 11. Uniqueness/Heritage X 7 1,3,4,5 X No Known Unkque of heritage features present. 12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics X 1,2,3,5,6 X SEVERAL POINTS W/THE COMBINED STSTEM PROVIDE 13. Endangered Species Habitat X 1,2 X Now observed Purchase Story	10. Education/Scientific Value			:	1,2,3,6,7			No Known educational on scientific Values,
11. Uniqueness/Heritage X 7 1,3,4,5 X No Known Unkque of heritage features present. 12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics X 1,2,3,5,6 X SEVERAL POINTS W/ITHE COMBINED SYSTEM PROVIDE 13. Endangered Species Habitat X 1,2 X Now observed Provide Story			X	·	8,11-14,15,16			Limited access. Limited Values.
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics X 1,2,3,5,6 X SEVERAL POINTS W/ITHE COMBINED SYSTEM PROVIDE 13. Endangered Species Habitat X 1,2 X SEVERAL POINTS W/ITHE COMBINED SYSTEM PROVIDE 13. Endangered Species Habitat X 1,2 X NON OBSERVED PURING STUDY	11. Uniqueness/Heritage		×		1,3,4,5		×	No Known Unkque of heritage features present.
13. Endangered Species Habitat X 1,8,10,11 7,12 AESTHETIC VIEWSHEDS. 13. Endangered Species Habitat X 1,2 X NON OBSERVED PURING STUDY	12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics	X		1,2,3,5,6	0.10		X	SEVERAL POINTS W/ THE COMBINED SYSTEM PROVIDE
13. Endangered Species Habitat				1,8,10,11	7,12			AESTHETIC VIEWSHEDS.
	13. Endangered Species Habitat		\checkmark		٢,٢		\times	NON OBSERVED PURING STUDY

	WETLAND SUMMARY SHEET WETLAND ID#: 13								
WETLAND DESCRIPTION									
Project: Gorham Bypass				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
General description: headwater are	a - i	Fort +	tul brook	Watershed:	Pre	sunp	scott River		
Classifications (fed): PSSI PEC	>(-			
Principal vegetation: gray birch	Se	ec. al	der, red	maple, h.	emle	wk_			
Soil substrate:			۰	Hydrology: a	gnar	<u>rdive</u>	ste breakout, surtace run of		
Disturbance: old logging ad	with	1		Surrounding	Land l	Jse:			
Water bodies:									
Wildlife observations:									
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occi	irrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	icipal	Comments		
	<u>γ</u>	N	Y	N	<u>Y</u>	N	LINE TTO A THE OF DONINGS DISCUSSED FOR		
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge	X		1,6,9,12	3,4	X	1872) •	THE TOE OF SLOPES.		
2. Flood storage & desynchronization	X		2,3,4,5	1,6		X	FLOOD STORAGE CAPACITY RELATED TO LANDSCAPE DEPRESSIONS BETWEEN HILLS		
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat		X		1,2		X	No fish orshedfish habitat available		
4. Sediment/toxicant retention		\checkmark	1,2,34	6,7,8,9		X	Upper reaches of stream / wetland. himited opportunity		
5. Nutrient retention/transformation		\checkmark	3,4,5,9	1, 2, 4 9		×	Limited Sources of nomients and opportunity for transformation.		
6. Nutrient export	X	* 2 (1,4.57	2,3,6,8		$\boldsymbol{\mathbf{x}}$	Limited frans, although dense vegetation. Through wetland, Limited value		
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	X			1-14		X	No channel in upper reaches, well vegetated wetlynd		
8. Wildlife habitat	X		1,3,5,7,8	4,6,11,12	×		THE MAIN PORTION OF THE WETLAND SYSTEM IS A WET MEADOW SURROUNDED BY SSEFO AND PROVIDES A RANGE OF WIL HABITATS.		
9. Recreation	X		3,4,5,7	1,2,		×	Limited access.		
10. Education/Scientific Value		\times	5	2-4,7-10		X	No known education or " Cientitic values		
11. Uniqueness/Heritage		\times	7	1,9-11		\mathbf{X}	No Known unique or heritage Seatures present		
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics	X		1,2,3,5,6	9.12		X	THE CENTER OF THE WETLAND IS A WETLIEADOW THAT HAS AN ABSTHETIC VALUE		
13. Endangered Species Habitat		×				\star	NONE OBSERVED DURING STUDY		

بالمحمولين والمحمول والمحمول والمحمو

WETLAND SUMMARY SHEET WETLAND ID#: /4										
WETLAND DESCRIPTION	WETLAND DESCRIPTION									
Project: Gorham Bypass			-							
General description: SOJTH Side drainages - Fort Hill Watershed: Presumps colt River										
Classifications (fed): PFO1 PSS1 Brock										
Principal vegetation: hembock, yellow birch, red more, 30 hagham										
Soil substrate:)		- 7	Hydrology:	5no	unle	water breakout, narrow drainageways			
Disturbance: old logging ac	twit	ry and	adjacent dist.	Surrounding L	and L	Jse: _	forested			
Water bodies: none; druinayewa	ings l	eading	to Janua	eny Brook			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Wildlife observations:	0	<	2							
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	irrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments			
	Y	N	YY	<u>N</u>	Y	N				
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge			10	WnKnown	ar a		None observed could potentially discharge			
	<u></u>	×					from banks and upshope ortea. Unknown			
2. Flood storage & desynchronization		X	2,3,5,9	1, 4, 20,			Limiter flood storage available since area is			
	<u> </u>			13,14,15			an hillside and largely dramage ways.			
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat		×		1,2		X	No water bodies available for habitat			
4. Sediment/toxicant retention	1			1,3,5,79			Northown inpit of sediments (inited vegetation			
				11,12		X	in channel, limit opportunity for retention.			
5. Nutrient retention/transformation		,	5,1	1, 2, 3, 8,9			Limited opportunity for retention or transformation			
		X				X	due to stopes and lack of elence veg on stopes.			
6. Nutrient export				116,7,8,9		X	Stream from available but no production available for			
		$ \times$				Ĺ	export			
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization			1,2,57	6,10,11			FLOW in drainings but inited vegetation for			
	X			12,13			stevenization functions			
8. Wildlife habitat			4,5,6,7,11,	9,10,13,14,15		N	hargely hemlock forest w/ drainages on hilbede			
	メ						Limited habitat values except surrounding oplands			
9. Recreation		×	4	1,2,7,9,10		$ \times$	Limited access, water and			
10. Education/Scientific Value			-	1,2,3,67		X	No Known educational or scientific value, dong			
				8,11-14,15-16		ļ	With limited access, hair butter for mistonown.			
11. Uniqueness/Heritage		X	6	1,7, 1,2		×	Area lacks features of local significance.			
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics		X	5,	1,2,3,4,6		×	Limited visibility and accessibility.			
13. Endangered Species Habitat		×		1,2		×	No Known endangered species habitat observed in field or noted by agencies			

,

	WETLAND SUMMARY SHEET WETLAND ID#: ۲۲								
WETLAND DESCRIPTION									
Project: Gorham Bypass				and the second second	** · • .				
General description: Tannery	Broo	K		Watershed:	Pre	sum	ps with River		
Classifications (fed): PSI, PDI									
Principal vegetation: Cruna. fe	in	red	maple, .	borsetai	(
Soil substrate: sayad & cobble	. bot	tom	, ,	Hydrology:	310	w la	e stream, north foury 4"-1'deap		
Disturbance:				Surrounding I	Land L	Jse:	Υ		
Water bodies: Tannery Bra	pok								
Wildlife observations:									
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	irrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments		
	Y	N	Y	<u>N</u>	Y	N	······································		
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge			7,13,15	1-3, 5,9,12		X	well channelized but sinvous perennial stream		
						ļ	Fotentrally discharging from bank and to was		
2. Flood storage & desynchronization			1,9,6,7,8				Channelized istream within migh bimiled rolopes.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	X		19,14			ļ	Total storage area un Known (in twodplain). Valuable		
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat	X		1,2,3,4,7	6	\checkmark		Wettend in cludes launery Brook. Sin vous, perennich		
		ļ	9,12,14,17	6			brook 3 wide 4-1 deep		
4. Sediment/toxicant retention	V		1,3,4,10,11	5,7,15	\mathbf{X}		Stream has well defined channel, sond / much bollom		
	~			4. A 12			Whaters of deposition evident. Clarky dury 1 VISU		
5. Nutrient retention/transformation			2,3,4,6,7	9,10,11,13	- S.,	X	Anited polances for attentiation of noneurs in		
6 Nutrient expert			4 6 10 11	27,13			ma the the over the side hable signation		
o. Nutiterit export	X			2,7		×	the upstream and that are interested		
7 Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization			123170	4,9 10,11	,		Channed highly everyble. Door chrub and de T		
			12,13		X		lane in adjacent wetland w/vpland habitat to		
8. Wildlife habitat			3.9.79	1,10,11,12			Cand interspersion of stream, shout at herbacenos		
	$ \times $		- , . ,		\times		Communities		
9. Recreation			4.56711	1,9,10			Limited access to area out existing path & bridge		
	X		12,19	,,,	*		indicate recreational use		
10. Education/Scientific Value	1	i, .	4,5,11	1,2,3,6,7,8			Potentially could be othered for educational studies		
	X	с. ж		9,10		X	but overall access Imited.		
11. Uniqueness/Heritage			2,5,6,7,9	1, 3, 4, 13, 14		~	Little Known unique or heritage features. Access		
	X		188,16,17	20, 21,23,24			issues lithit values		
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics			2,5,9,9			\checkmark	Limited visual access to stream area, but		
			10			^	existing tool bridge & trail crosses		
13. Endangered Species Habitat		<u> </u>		1,2		X	No known endangened species observed in the		
		× ·					field o'r noted by agencies		

			WE:	TLAND SUMM	ARY S	SHEET	WETLAND ID#: 16
WETLAND DESCRIPTION							
Project: Gorham Bypass							
General description: Drainage Swa	le ne	an Ro	viezoz	Watershed:	Pres	sunf	is wer
Classifications (fed): PSS1							
Principal vegetation: 5p. al, buck	thorn	, vas	plen				
Soil substrate:			, ,	Hydrology:			
Disturbance: old woods + raw	Is, e	xist	trails	Surrounding I	and L	Jse: r	esidential, forested rec. trails
Water bodies: none; dramage	3Wal	es n	ear house	s			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Wildlife observations:							
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	rrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments
	<u>Y</u>	N	Y	<u>N</u>	<u>Y</u>	N	
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge		×		1,2,3,4,13		×	Potential groundwater breakout but
				15			most likely road run off & sortace drainage
2. Flood storage & desynchronization			3,4,5	1,2,7,11,12			Flood storage limited due to small size \$
				13,418			limited inputs.
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat		\prec		1,2		×	No watercourse or habitatavailable
4. Sediment/toxicant retention	X		5	1,3,7,9,		X	Sediment sources may be autilable from rocad, Limited
5 Nutriant rotantian/transformation			~	1.7 2 0 9			the water course limited sources at a tracente
5. Nutrent retention/transformation		X	57	1, -, , , , , , , ,			everlable = limited population to
6 Nutrient export				771			the stand from the provert of the tell a polyetivety
		X		C, 3, 6		×	Lack of the for export a time of productively
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization				1,3,7,8		$\mathbf{\nabla}$	Pense regetation is expected to provide good
				9,10,11			sediment stab. but no watercourse
8. Wildlife habitat	×		3,7,5,7			×	Small area. May provide accessiving to river from road, Little value itself.
9. Recreation			9	1-3,11,12			Private property himited a ciess, a though woods
		×		and the second	•••		hands cross the site. Limited rec. Values too small
10. Education/Scientific Value		$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	5	2,3,4,7,8,9		V	No Known education or scientific value.
				10 11 -713	er a		- Small drainagency between houses
11. Uniqueness/Heritage			t,2	3.26			No Known Unique or heritage features present.
		$ \gamma $					Area lacks features of local significance to-bis. etc
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics		\checkmark	6	13-12		×	area visible from Route 114 but of little value
		~					or interest, Limited Value
13. Endangered Species Habitat		V		1,2		X	No known Endangered species observed in
			-	1			the tield or noted by agencies.

			WE	TLAND SUMN	IARY	SHEE	T WETLAND ID#: (7
WETLAND DESCRIPTION							
Project: Gorham Bypass							
General description: Igolated we	Hand	Rast	tol Libby	Watershed:	PRE	500	UPSCOTT RIVER
Classifications (fed): PFO			Road				
Principal vegetation:							
Soil substrate:				Hydrology: <	URF	XE	Runoff
Disturbance:				Surrounding	Land l	Jse:	
Water bodies:							
Wildlife observations:							
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	rrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments
	<u>Y</u>	N	Y	N	<u>Y</u>	N	A
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge	\times		1, <i>7, 10</i> , 13	3,4,11,16,		X	THE WETLAND SERVES AS A DISCHARE POINT FOR THE WETLAND SERVES AS A DISCHARE POINT FOR THE SURROUNDING HILLY LANDSCAPE
2. Flood storage & desynchronization		×		14,6.		x	too small to provide storage
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat		×		1,2		ĸ	No water course on habitat
4. Sediment/toxicant retention	\times		1,2,4.8,9 6,	5,7		X	AS THE HIGHEST WETLAND IN THE MOSHER BROOK WATER- SHED, LOCAL DEVELOPMENT AND EXISTING ROADS POTENTIALLY CAN CREATE, SED/TOX THAT CAN BE
5. Nutrient retention/transformation		X		1,2,4,5,9 9		\times	MINIGIZED BY THIS WETLAND.
6. Nutrient export		X		3,3,6		×	No watercourse or channel for flushing.
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization		×		9,10,11		\times	No channel for stabilization pu-poses
8. Wildlife habitat	×		1,3,5,6,8 13,19,20	4,11,12	×		COMBINED WY MOSHER BROOK, THIS WETLAND PROVIDES DIVERSIFIED HABITATS.
9. Recreation		×				Х	No access
10. Education/Scientific Value	X		2,3,4,5, 9,10	1,8		X	AS THE HIGHEST WETCAND IN A SMALL DRAINAGE SYSTEM AND A DRECT LINK W/MOSHER BROOK THIS SMALL WETCAND HAS EDUCATIONAL VALUE.
11. Uniqueness/Heritage		X			-	×	No Known unique or heritage fectures present. Facts local significance
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics		\times				Х	area not visible or accessible.
13. Endangered Species Habitat		×	÷	1,2		×	No end. species nebitat observed or species

			WEI	LAND SUMM	ARY S	SHEET	ſ WETLAND ID#: (8
WETLAND DESCRIPTION							
Project: Gorham Bypass							
General description: westend - N	rosh	Icr B	mook drain.	Watershed: E	PRES	som	PSCOTT RIVER
Classifications (fed): PFo 4			·····				1
Principal vegetation:							
Soil substrate:				Hydrology: S	ORF	ACE	- DRAINAGE
Disturbance: RECENT LOGGIN	، مى	OPER	ATION	Surrounding I	_and L	Jse: 1	FORESTLAND
Water bodies:	·						
Wildlife observations:					·· ·		
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	irrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments
	Y	N	Y	<u>N</u>	<u>Y</u>	<u>N</u>	
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge	X		6,7,9,12	3,4		X	SEVERAL SMALL WETLAND COLLECTIVELY MAKE UP THE GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE OF THEIR SURROUNDING AREA
2. Flood storage & desynchronization		1	34,59	1,2,7,11			Upper reaches of wetland and water, hed.
		Х		12,13-18		X	Little storage ability - I motel potential
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat		×	•	1,2		×	No fish or shell fish habitat available.
4. Sediment/toxicant retention	\times		1, 3, 4, 5	2,			LOGGING ACTIVITIES OFFER THE POTENTIAL TO CREATE SOIL EROSION, WHICH COULD BE STABILIZED BY THE WETLAND BEFORE ENTERING MOSER BAROK
5. Nutrient retention/transformation		×	9	1, 2, 4-3		X	Potential notrient sources (mited. No waterourse
6. Nutrient export		×	14,517	2,3,689		\checkmark	himoted potential for export of detruits
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization		×		1,3,4,7,29	L.	\times	No water cause to stabilize. No flow,
8. Wildlife habitat	×	· · · · · ·	1, 3, 4, 5, 7 8, 13, 16, 17	11,12	X		WHILE SMALL, THE WETLANDSTHAT MAKE UP THIS GROUP ARE IN CLOSE PROXIMITY TO MOSHER BROOK. THE COMBINE SYSTEM OFFER A WIDE RANGE OF HABITATS.
9. Recreation		\times		1-3, 11,12		X	No access available
10. Education/Scientific Value		\times	5	2-4,7-10		X	No Known educational or scientific Values available. Limited access.
11. Uniqueness/Heritage		У	۲.	1,3-11		X	No Known Unique on heritage soles present. Area lacks features of local significance.
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics		×	2,3,9	2,10,11,12		\times	Limited Visibility and access innit values of the site
13. Endangered Species Habitat		\checkmark		12		X	NOUE OBSERVED DURKL STUDY

			WE	LAND SUMN	IARY	SHEE	T WETLAND ID#: 19
WETLAND DESCRIPTION							
Project: Gorham Bypass							
General description: Southern +	からび	Tavy	Moshet	Watershed:	fres	Sma	subt River
Classifications (fed): PSS(PEA	11	8	Brook	•		,	
Principal vegetation: cattal,	aide	r, b	ickthorn	SENSITIVE	fern		
Soil substrate: Silt loam		,	,	Hydrology:	surt	face	flow, ground water
Disturbance: excavated di	tche	\$		Surrounding	Land l	Jse:	Fields, residential
Water bodies: drainage ditch.	es 11	nclud	led				
Wildlife observations:							
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	irrence	Rationale	(Question#)	Prin	icipal	Comments
	<u>Y</u>	N	Y	N	<u>Y</u>	<u>N</u>	
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge	X		1,6,7,15	2,3,4,5		×	Drainase ditches infield, impervious soils at to 20".
2. Flood storage & desynchronization	X		2,3,7,13	6,9,9	×		Size of wetland contributes potential storige
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat		×				×	Lack of persistent water
4. Sediment/toxicant retention	×		2,13,15	1,3,11	X		Roads, residences and adjacent development may contribute to this function.
5. Nutrient retention/transformation	X		3,5,6,9,9	2,4	X	1.11	Limited agricultural use in area reduces function
6. Nutrient export	\times		1,2,4,5,7			×	Off site drainage is limited limiting potential for export of detritus, etc.
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization	×		zjik	1,3-13		X	well vegetated ditch and fisce indicate value, now velocities importantial.
8. Wildlife habitat	×		3,5,7,8;13	2,9,6,10	×		Overall large size, interspersion of plant communities contribute to value
9. Recreation	X		3,4,12	1,2,5,10	X		Three wheeler / snow mobile trails valuable, Limited values do to aesthetics
10. Education/Scientific Value	\times		•	1,2;4,6,8		X	The lack of parking and limited diversity Imit the overall value for edu.
11. Uniqueness/Heritage		У	Z			×	Previous unique qualities lost as a result 61. past agricultural agtivities
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics	X		9	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	X		Powerlines Imait on reduce aethetic qualities.
13. Endangered Species Habitat		Х		1,2		×	No endangered greates observed during field work on by agencies
Note: Federal functions derived from ACOE's G	Suide for	Permit	Applicants, 1993	3.	ж. ,		· · ·

	WETLAND SUMMARY SHEET WETLAND ID#: 20								
WETLAND DESCRIPTION									
Project: Gorham Bypass			-						
General description: Mosher Comer	wet	land	>	Watershed:	presu	mpso	with River		
Classifications (fed): PEM PS	51								
Principal vegetation: 9 p. al, catta	1,6	, cicth	orn						
Soil substrate: 9.14 loam	/			Hydrology: s	rh	ce d	ranage via dutching groundwater		
Disturbance: large open area 1	nch	ding	powerline	Surrounding I	_and L	Jse: _	field powerline		
Water bodies:									
Wildlife observations:									
FUNCTIONAL ASSESSMENT	Occu	rrence	Rationale ((Question#)	Prin	cipal	Comments		
	Y	Ν	Y	N	Y	Ν			
1. Groundwater recharge/discharge	1		1,6,7,15	2,3,4,5			Drainage ditches across the site		
	X			13,16		×	silt loan soils limit permeability		
2. Flood storage & desynchronization			2,3,7,13	6,99			area and size of wetland could contribute		
	X		4,14		X		storage and desynchronization values		
3. Fish and Shellfish Habitat	:	.7		1,2		v	No open water persistent. water course is		
		\succ				<u> </u>	intermittent.		
4. Sediment/toxicant retention	6		2,3,5,7,10		V		adjacent roadways, houses and trails		
			12,13,14		X		contribute to this turation.		
5. Nutrient retention/transformation			3,9,5,7,9	1,2,10	v		Sedument/tropping potential exists up dense veg.		
			11,19		<u> </u>	`	Nurrient plus old agricultured area		
6. Nutrient export			1,2,4,7	6, 13, 14		5	High density and potential for exporting or "floshing"		
	X					x	notrients Exists. Limited by intentitud heater (withous		
7. Sediment/Shoreline Stabilization			2,35,8,9	1,6,7,10,11			Dense vegetation, low velocities lumit potential.		
	X			13					
8. Wildlife habitat			5, 4, 11, 12	1,34,10			Large community of diverse surroundings contribute to		
			14,15,16,17	and the second sec	×		Value.		
9. Recreation	X		3,4,12	1,2.5,10			Existing trails for snow mobiles & access by power co.		
			-	M.c.	<u> </u>	· · · ·			
10. Education/Scientific Value	X		3,5,8	1,2,4,6,7		×	Difficult accession is pollential available. Good habited		
	ļ			14			and visibility.		
11. Uniqueness/Heritage		\prec	7,12,13,19	2,3,4,5,9		\sim	Old agricultural area plus overhead powerlines		
		,	06	10,23,-27		<u> </u>	Imit this value		
12. Visual Quality/Aesthetics					X		Visually accessible from Route 25 but overall impart		
	$ \land$					L	of powerlines Imit Value		
13. Endangered Species Habitat				1,2		V	No endangeed species observed during trell		
		7					work or noted by agancies.		

.

APPENDIX B

Appendix B

Amphibians and Reptiles Common Species with Ranges Overlapping the Study Area (DeGraaf and Rudis, 1986)

Common Name	Scientific Name
Jefferson salamander	Ambystoma opacum
Spotted salamander	Ambystoma maculatum
Red-spotted newt	Notophthalmus v. viridescens
Northern dusky salamander	Desmognatus f. fuscus
Redback salamander	Plethodon cinereus
Northern two-lined salamander	Eurycea b.bislineata
Eastern American toad	Bufo a. americanus
Northern spring peeper	Hyla c. crucifer
Gray Treefrog	Hyla versicolor
Bullfrog	Rana catesbeiana
Green frog	Rana clamitans melanota
Wood frog	Rana sylvatica
Northern leopard frog	Rana pipiens
Pickerel frog	Rana palustris
Common snapping turtle	Chelydra s. serpentina
Stinkpot	Sternotherus odoratus
Eastern painted turtle	Chrysemys p.picta
Northern water snake	Nerodia s. sipedon
Northern brown snake	Storeria d. dekayi
Northern redbelly snake	Storeria o. occipitomaculata
Eastern garter snake	Thamnophis s. sirtalis
Eastern ribbon snake	Thamnophis s. sauritus **
Northern ringneck snake	Diadophis punctatus
	edwardsi
Northern black racer	Coluber c. constrictor
Eastern smooth green snake	Opheodrys v. vernalis
Eastern milk snake	Lampropeltis t.triangulum

** - species considered to be at the limit of their range (Degraaf and Rudis, 1986)

Bird Species Occurring within the Study Area (MDIFW, 2002)

Common Name

Scientific Name

Common loon American bittern Great blue heron Green-backed heron Wood duck American black duck Mallard **Ringed-neck duck** Common goldeneye Hooded merganser Common merganser Osprey **Bald eagle** American kestrel Sharp-shinned hawk Cooper's hawk Northern goshawk Red-shouldered hawk Broad-winged hawk Red-tailed hawk Ruffed grouse Virginia rail Sora Killdeer Spotted sandpiper Common snipe American woodcock Mourning dove Black-billed cuckoo Great horned owl Barred owl Long-eared owl Northern saw-whet owl Common nighthawk Whip-poor-will Chimney swift Ruby-throated hummingbird **Belted kingfisher** Yellow-bellied sapsucker Downy woodpecker Hairv woodpecker Black-backed woodpecker Northern flicker Pileated Woodpecker

Gavia immer Botaurus lentiginosus Ardea herodias Butorides striatus Aix sponsa Anas rubripes Anas platyrhynchos Aythya collaris Bucephala clangula Lophodytes cucullaus Mergus merganser Pandion haliaetus Haliaeetus leucocephalus Falco sparverius Accipiter striatus Accipiter cooperii Accipiter gentiles **Buteo lineatus** Buteo platypterus Buteo jamaicensis Bonasa umbellatus Rallus limicola Porzana carolina Charadrius vociferous Actitis macularia Gallinago gallinago Scolopax minor Zenaida macroura Coccyzus erythropthalmus Bubo virginianus Strix varia Asio otus Aegolius acadicus Charadeiles minor Caprimulgus vociferous Chaetura pelagica Archilochus colubris Ceryle alcyon Sphyrapicus varius Picoides pubescens Picoides villosus Picoides articus Colaptes auratus Dryocopus pileatus
Olive-sided flycatcher Yellow-bellied flycatcher Alder flycatcher Least flycatcher Eastern phoebe Great-crested flycatcher Eastern kingbird Tree swallow Northern rough-winged swallow Bank swallow Gray jay Blue jay American crow Common raven Black-capped chickadee Boreal chickadee Red-breasted nuthatch White-breasted nuthatch Brown creeper Winter wren Marsh wren Golden-crowned kinglet Ruby-crowned kinglet Eastern bluebird Veerv Gray catbird Swainson's thrush Hermit thrush Wood thrush American robin Cedar waxwing Northern shrike European starling Philadelphia vireo Red-eved vireo Tennessee warbler Nashville warbler Northern parula Yellow warbler Chestnut-sided warbler Magnolia warbler Cape May warbler Black-throated blue warbler Yellow-rumped warbler Black-throated green warbler Blackburnian warbler Palm warbler **Bay-breasted warbler Blackpoll warbler**

Contopus borealis Empidonax flaviventris Empidonax alnorum Empidonax minimus Sayornis phoebe Mviarchus crinitus Tyrannus tyrannus Tachycineata bicolor Stelgidopteryx serripennis Riparia riparia Perisoreus canadensis Cvanocitta cristata Corvus brachyrhynchos Corvus corax Parus atricapillus Parus hudsonicus Sitta canadensis Sitta carolinensis Certhia americana Troglodytes troglodytes Cistothorus palustus Polioptila caerulea Regulus calendula Sialia sialis Catharus fuscescens Dumetella carolinensis Catharus ustulatus Catharus guttatus Hylocichla mustelina Turdus migratorius Bombycilla cedrorum Lanius excubitor Sturnus vulgaris Vireo philadelphicus Vireo olivaceous Vermivora peregrina Vermivora ruficapilla Parula americana Dendroica petechia Dendroica pensylvanica Dendroica magnolia Dendroica tigrina Dendroica caerulescens Dendroica coronata Dendroica virens Dendroica fusca Dendroica palmarum Dendroica castanea Dendroica striata

Black and white warbler American redstart Ovenbird Northern waterthrush Mourning warbler Common yellowthroat Canada warbler Scarlet tanager Rose-breasted grosbeak Indigo bunting Chipping sparrow Savannah sparrow Song sparrow Lincoln sparrow White-throated sparrow Dark eyed junco Snow bunting **Bobolink** Red-winged blackbird Eastern meadowlark Brown-headed cowbird Pine grosbeak Purple finch Common redpoll Pine siskin American goldfinch Evening grosbeak

Scientific Name

Mniotilta varia Setophaga ruticilla Seiurus aurocapillus Seiurus noveborecensis **Opornonis Philadelphia** Geothlyphis tricas Wilsonia canadensis Piranga olivacea Pheucticus Iudovicianus Passerina cyanea Spizella passerina Passerculus sandwichencis Melospiza melodia Melospiza lincolnii Zonotrichia albicollis Junco hyemalis Plectrophenax nivalis Dolichonyx oryzivorus Agelaius phoeniceus Sturnella magna Molothrus ater Pinicola enucleator Carpodacus purpureus Carduelis flammea Carduelis pinus Carduelis tritris Coccothraustes vesperimus

Mammal Species Occurring within the Study Area (MDIF&W, 2002)

Common Name	Scientific Name
Masked shrew	Sorex cinereus
Water shrew	Sorex palustris
Smoky shrew	Sorex fumeus
Pvamv shrew	Sorex hovi **
Hairy-tailed mole	Parascalops breweri
Star-nosed mole	Condvlura cristata
Little brown myotis	Mvotis lucifuaus
Big brown bat	Eptesicus fuscus
Snowshoe hare	Lepus americanus
Eastern chipmunk	Tamias striatus
Woodchuck	Marmota monax
Red squirrel	Tamiascciurus hudsonicus
Northern flying squirrel	Glaucomys sabrinus
Beaver	Castor Canadensis
Deer mouse	Peromyscus maniculatus
Southern red-backed vole	Clethrionomys gapperi
Meadow vole	Microtus pennsylvanicus
Muskrat	Ondatra zibethicus
Southern bog lemming	Synaptomys cooperi
Northern bog lemming	Synaptomys borealis
Meadow jumping mouse	Zapus hudsonicus
Woodland jumping mouse	Napeozapus insignis **
Porcupine	Erethizon dorsatum
Coyote	Canis latrans
Red fox	Vulpes vulpes
Black bear	Ursus americanus
Raccoon	Procyon lotor
Ermine	Mustela erminea
Long-tailed weasel	<i>Mustela fren</i> ata
Fisher	Mates pennanti
Mink	Mustela vison
Striped skunk	Mephitis mephitis
River otter	Lutra canadensis
Bobcat	Felis rufus
White-tailed deer	Odocoileus virginianus
Moose	Alces alces

** - species considered to be at the limit of their range (MDIF&W, 2002)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

÷

Bibliography

Caswell, W.B. and E.M. Lanctot. 1976(a). Total Depth of Bedrock Wells in Cumberland County. Maine Geological Survey.

Caswell, W.B. and E.M. Lanctot. 1976(b). Yields of Bedrock Wells in Cumberland County. Maine Geological Survey.

Caswell, W.B. and E.M. Lanctot. 1976(c). Thickness of Overburden in Cumberland County. Maine Geological Survey.

Clean Water Act. 1972. Sections 401 and 404. 33 USC 1251-1376.

Coffin, David. Portland Water District, Customer Service. July 20, 2000. Personal communication via telephone.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC.

DeGraff, Richard M. and D.D. Rudis. New England Wildlife: Habitat, Natural History, and Distribution. General Technical Report NE-108, Broomhall PA: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station. 1986.

DeGraff, Richard M., Yamasaki, Mariko, Leak, William B., Lanier, John B. New England Wildlife: Management of Forested Habitats. General Technical Report NE-144, Radnor, PA. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station. 1992.

Endangered Species Act. 1973. 16 USC Part 1531 et seq.

Executive Order 11990, Protection of Wetlands, May 24, 1977.

Hedstrom, Gary. Cumberland County, Maine Soil Survey. 1974. Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Maine Agricultural Experiment Station.

Jones, A.L. and Peter D. Vickery. Conserving Grassland Birds—Managing Large Grasslands including Conservation Lands, Airports, and Landfills over 75 acres for Grassland Birds. Massachusetts Audubon Society, 1997.

Leedy, D.L., and Adams, L.W. Wildlife Considerations on Planning and Managing Highways Corridors. Federal Highway Administration. Office of Research and Development. Rep. FHWA-TS=82-212. 1982.

Maine Atlantic Salmon Commission. Electronic mail from Norm Dube to Jim Fougere, The Smart Associates, January 7, 2000. Maine Atlantic Salmon Commission, Banger, Maine.

Maine Department of Environmental Protection. Classification of Maine Waters. June 8, 2000. http://janus.state.me.us/dep/blwq/class.htm.

Maine Department of Environmental Protection. Maine Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook for Construction: Best Management Practices. Cumberland County SWCD. March 1991.

Maine Department of Environmental Protection. Nonpoint Source Priority Watersheds List. September 18, 1998(b). http://janus.state.me.us/dep/docwatershed.htm.

Maine Department of Environmental Protection. Maine Natural Resources Protection Act. Revised July 4, 1996. Title 38, MRSA, §§ 480A through 480Z.

Maine Department of Environmental Protection. Maine Section 303(d) Waters-1998.

Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife. Map of Protected Species and Significant Wildlife Habitats. January 13, 2000. MDIFW, Gray, Maine.

Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife. Fisheries resource information via email from James Pellerin to Jim Fougere, The Smart Associates. January 12, 2000. MDIFW, Gray, Maine.

Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife. Updated Map of Protected Species and Significant Wildlife Habitats. Warren Eldridge, January 10, 2001. Gray, Maine.

Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife. List of Wildlife Species Occuring within Habitats of the Gorham Bypass Study Area. Warren Eldridge, March 11, 2002. Gray, Maine.

Maine Department of Transportation. 1998. Aerial Photographs of the Town of Gorham.

Maine Department of Transportation and Maine Department of Environmental Protection. Stormwater Memorandum of Agreement. M.R.S.A. § 420-D.

Maine Endangered Species Act. 12 MRSA Chapter V.

Maine Geological Survey. 1976. Groundwater Resource Maps of Cumberland County.

Natural Resources Protection Act. 38 MRSA § 480-D.

Neil, C.D., & G.W. Smith. Preliminary Aquifer Boundaries – Gorham Quadrangle. 1998. Maine Geological Survey. Open-File No. 98-143.

Neil, C.C., & G.W. Smith. Surficial Geology – Gorham Quadrangle, Maine. 1999. Maine Geological Survey. Open-File No. 99-84.

Public Law 98-98. Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA). 1984. 7 CFR 658.

State of Maine, Department of Conservation. Letter from Emily Pinkham to Jim Fougere, The Smart Associates. January 11, 2000. Rare and Exemplary Botanical Features. Maine Natural Areas Division, Augusta, Maine.

Swan, Brian. Maine Department of Marine Resources. January 27, 2000. Personal communication via telephone.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual. 1987. Waterways Experiment Station. Vicksburg, Mississippi. Technical Report Y-87-1.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New England Division. Guide to Permit Applicants. 1991. Waltham, Massachusetts.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New England Division. Highway Methodology Workbook Supplement: Wetland Functions and Values, *A Descriptive Approach*. 1995. NEDEP-360-1-30a.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, New England Division. The Highway Methodology Workbook. 1993. NEDEP 360-1-30.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. Hydric Soils List for Maine. Soil Survey Division website. January 31, 2000. http://www.statlab.iastate.edu/soils/hydric/me.htm

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 1980. Important Farmlands Map for Cumberland County.

U.S. Department of Agriculture. 1984. Farmland Protection Policy Act. 7 CFR 658.

U.S. Department of the Interior. Geological Survey. Gorham Quadrangle. 7.5 minute series. Photorevised 1970.

U.S. Department of the Interior. U.S. Geological Survey. Gorham Quadrangle, Maine – 7.5 Minute Series (Topographic). 1987.

U.S. Department of the Interior. U.S. Geological Survey. Surficial Geology Map. File No. 99-84.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Casco Bay Estuary Project, Geographic Information Systems, 1995.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Letter from Wende Mahaney to Jim Fougere, The Smart Associates. July 5, 2000. USFWS, Maine Ecological Services Field Office. Old Town, Maine.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. National Wetland Inventory Map – Gorham Quadrangle. 1980.

U.S. Geological Survey. 1985. Gorham, Maine 7.5 Minute Quadrangle.