

WILLIAM LOWELL, Sec.  
Wiscasset, Aug. 24th, 1854.



# The Oxford Democrat.

PARIS, Mo. SEPTEMBER 8, 1854.

PUBLISHED EVERY FRIDAY MORNING BY  
NOAH PRINCE.

THOMAS H. BROWN, Editor.

For all advertisements held to be  
from the date of the first insertion.  
Communications should be directed to "The  
Oxford Democrat, Paris, Mo."  
Book and Job Printing  
Promptly and Neatly Executed

Democratic Republican Nominations

FOR GOVERNOR,  
ANSON P. MORRILL,  
OF REARFIELD.

For Representatives to Congress,  
SECOND DIST. JOHN J. PERRY.

For Senators,  
JOHN PRINCE, of Turner,  
TIMOTHY WALKER, of Ramford.

For Co. Commissioners,  
AMERICA BARTLETT.

For Co. Treasurer,  
RUFUS K. GOODENOW.

Handbill of Buxton, Vinton & Co.

We stop the press to announce this new  
edition, which is free will-Buxton and  
Vinton to defeat Gen. Perry. It amounts  
to nothing. Mr. Kimball says not one  
word about repeal or restoration. Without  
this whole contest is ignored. It is mean-  
ingless and void. As we have said before,  
lead no handbills—no new coalitions.

REPRESENTATIVE TO CONGRESS.  
Sustain Mr. Mayall.

The importance of electing the right sort  
of a Representative to Congress, though  
thoroughly appreciated, is not likely to  
receive too much attention. None but an out-  
spoken Anti-Nebraska man is entitled to this  
high office. The people have no resolved;  
and they will execute this Resolution. They  
are it to their present Representative and  
his free Anti-Nebraska position, to fulfill  
this whole contest is ignored. It is mean-  
ingless and void. As we have said before,  
lead no handbills—no new coalitions.

Mr. Mayall made an able speech against  
the Repeal of the Missouri Compromise.  
He contended that a bargain was a bargain  
and was binding to the end of time, unless  
given up by mutual consent. To elect a  
man from this district whose principles do  
not fully accord with these sentiments, is a  
repudiation of Mr. Mayall and the noble  
stand he saw fit to assume. If Mr. Mayall  
is repudiated—his sentiments and efforts  
abandoned by his constituents, where is the  
man who can be found, who will consent to  
stand up hereafter in Congress and maintain  
the principles of American Freedom?  
Where is the man who will again breast the  
storm of government patronage? and boldly  
assuming the ground of right, abandon the  
corrupt partnership of the times; and for-  
sake all for patriotism, liberty and true love  
of Country.

How, then, should the citizen vote? For  
whom should we vote? There are two can-  
didates in the field—both, honorable, capable,  
talented men. They are both placed on a  
public platform. The Convention which  
nominated them, did this that the people  
might see and know and appreciate the  
position of each. The public platform, therefore,  
of each is to be the only criterion by which  
the people are to judge them.

The great question at issue is, therefore,  
which of these candidates will most fully ex-  
press the true sentiment of the people on the  
greatest of National questions? Which is the  
most thoroughly opposed to that system of leg-  
islation and policy which gives the greatest  
number of the offices to slaveholders, in the  
army, navy and in the Foreign Department?  
Which is most thoroughly opposed to the power  
of sectional and central influences? Which  
is and has been the most thorough friend  
of the Constitution? and which will most ad-  
roitly maintain the doctrine of State rights?  
Which maintains the sacredness of compacts,  
and will most firmly resist their abrogation  
which will last upon the Freedom of the  
Territories; and labor to secure them from  
all sectional influences? Which will main-  
tain that all the officials of the General Gov-  
ernment both great and small shall be re-  
tained in their position in spite of sectional  
dictation or political coalition?

These are the questions now at issue. Let  
us see which Platform is most in accordance  
with these principles—with right and justice.  
Here they are:

PLATFORM OF THE CONVENTION WHICH  
NOMINATED MR. PERRY.

Resolved, That we deeply lament the abroga-  
tion of the Missouri Compromise in the  
passage of the Kansas Nebraska bill, and we  
sincerely pledge ourselves to exercise the  
right of suffrage as shall most speedily and  
effectually result in its restoration.

Resolved, That we are willing that the  
South should have every right they can fairly  
claim under the Constitution. But when they  
demand that slavery shall be installed  
above freedom, and insist upon falsifying the  
democratic creed, abrogating the acknowl-  
edged principles of the Constitution, and re-  
versing the settled principles of the govern-  
ment, for the sole purpose of extending the  
area of slavery, so as to establish and perpe-  
tuate its supremacy; we should be false to  
the principles of freedom if we did not meet  
it with determined opposition.

Resolved, That as tender and hearty thanks  
to all the members of Congress who resisted  
to the last the passage of the notorious Kan-  
sas Nebraska bill, and especially to those of our  
own delegation.

This is not the whole platform; but it is  
enough to show what the people will main-  
tain when they vote for Gen. Perry. This  
Platform is plainly opposed to the Nebraska  
perfidy—opposed to falsifying the democ-  
ratic creed—and opposed to installing the prin-  
ciples of slavery above those of liberty; and  
insists upon an effort "restoration" of the  
principles of Freedom as pledged in the Mis-  
souri compact. Now how is it with the  
platform on the other side?

PLATFORM OF THE CONVENTION WHICH  
NOMINATED MR. KIMBALL.

Resolved, That the Baltimore platform of  
1852, is the basis of our political faith, and  
it is only by adherence to that, that we can  
stand united as a national party; and no  
question of national policy, which has arisen  
since the adoption of that platform, is to be  
taken into consideration by the party, until it

has been passed upon by the next National  
Democratic Convention.

The sentiment of this is:

The Baltimore platform, though violated  
in its most essential feature in relation to the  
"final" settlement of Slavery questions, "is  
the basis of our political faith." The Repeal  
of the Missouri Compromise through a new  
question of National policy, installing Slavery  
over Freedom, is "not to be taken into  
consideration" TILL IT HAS BEEN PASSED UPON  
BY THE NEXT DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION.  
Consequently, this Convention has taken upon  
itself the responsibility of saying: THAT  
THE PEOPLE OF THIS DISTRICT HAVE NO OPIN-  
IONS—AND MUST EXPRESS NO OPINIONS ON  
THIS NEBRASKA PERFDY "TILL THE NEXT  
NATIONAL CONVENTION, WHEN THIS central  
oligarchy will condescend to inform them  
what they may believe."

We have commenced upon this singular  
Anti-Democratic platform, on a former oc-  
casion. We will say no more now. We  
leave it to the voter to say which of these  
platforms should be sustained.

'Democratic' 'Opposition' 'Doubtful'  
New Popular Sovereignty. Labelling the  
people!

An original "regular" document was  
shown to us last week, containing the evi-  
dences of the extraordinary efforts and the  
particularity of detail to which the old fusion  
organization is resorting, in order to carry the  
present election for a candidate without prin-  
ciples. It is truly an extraordinary document  
emanating from the town committee of Paris.  
It is directed to a person in a certain school  
district in Paris, whose zeal is commended,  
requesting him to report to said Committee,  
on or before election on all those who are at  
home and all who are absent; and to label  
them as "Democratic," "Opposition," "Doubtful,"  
and "Disfranchised."

PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL.

The Report of this School District com-  
mittee is appended to the Document. He says that  
"Nezer Tuell" (we use his own orthog-  
raphy) is "Democratic"—that "Wm. Crawford"  
is "Opposition"—and that "John Chase"  
is "Doubtful." He goes on further to  
Report that "Hornbe Bradford" is "Demo-  
cratic"—"Serra Perkins" is "Opposition"—  
and "Fin Warner" is "Doubtful," and so on.  
Thus the people are labelled by the party  
which supports A. K. Parris; and by that  
party which like that of "Tip and Ty," has  
no principles for the public eye.

We ask our fellow-citizens if here is not  
something extraordinary—something unique  
in this Document; and would further in-  
quire of all independent and intelligent voters  
if there is not something in the character of  
this Document which should excite their se-  
rious and candid reflection?

1st. We call attention to the labelling.  
This is a queer piece of political machinery.  
When investigated and its merits brought  
forth, it will bring to light the most singular  
phenomena. No kaleidoscope could bring  
out the colors—half the combinations, or  
half the variety. Just look! Nezer Tuell—  
Chandler Liberal—Democratic." Wm.  
Crawford—Liberal Democrat, "Opposi-  
tion." John Chase, Democratic, "Doubt-  
ful." Nezer Tuell took himself out of the  
Democratic party two years ago on an en-  
tirely new platform, he is labelled "Demo-  
cratic." Wm Crawford has always adhered  
to Democratic principles; he is styled in this  
strange Document, "Opposition." John  
Chase, a good independent and intelligent  
Democrat, is marked "Doubtful." Nezer  
Tuell (we use the correct language) united  
with the opponents of Democracy in '52, and  
voted for Senators whose action defeated the  
will of the people, he is called "Democratic."

Wm Crawford who voted with the party,  
who stood by the party and its principles, is  
now labelled "Opposition," and so are 11  
others who did the same thing. Nezer Tuell  
voted with those and for those in '52, who  
formed a bargain with the Whigs to turn out  
Gibson and put in Strickland, Sheriff of this  
County, in order to defeat a regular Demo-  
cratic for Governor—on the Regular Platform  
—who had received 42,000 out of 89,000  
votes of the people of Maine; he is therefore  
labelled "Democratic." Wm Crawford has  
always voted for the regular Jeffersonian  
and Jacksonian principles of the party, with-  
out variability or shadow of change, he is  
therefore labelled "Opposition." Nezer Tuell  
belongs now to the same class of men  
who last winter placed Crosby, a Whig, in  
the gubernatorial Chair and rejected at the  
door; and yet he is dubbed "Democratic" by  
those who now support a dumb candidate.  
Wm Crawford who opposed all this; and is  
opposed in principle to the present State  
Administration is called "Opposition." Now  
we may ask any candid man if this is not sin-  
gular, strange, absurd, preposterous, pre-  
sumptions, ridiculous?

2nd. What is the idea of Democracy and  
opposition here presented? What constitu-  
tions democracy as studied in this document.  
"Nezer" is "Democratic." "Wm." is  
"Opposition." John is on the fence, "doubt-  
ful." Nezer is a farmer; so are Wm. and  
John. The present standard of democracy,  
set up by the pretended "Regular" Con-  
vention is:

"Resolved that we now adjourn to meet  
at the polls and elect A. K. Parris." Now  
on this broad and discriminating platform,  
how can we tell which of these farmers is  
democratic and which opposition? Well it  
is impossible to say. We may suppose,  
however, that the principles of rationalization,  
were settled somewhat as follows:

In the progress of events, two sounds have  
come to be silence—two brilliant lights have  
come to be darkness—and two words "ad-  
journ" and "meet" are contrary to ancient  
ideas a democratic platform.  
"Nezer" believes, latterly, that two sounds  
are dumb—that two lights are darkness—  
and that the two words, "adjourn" and  
"meet" are the beginning, end and wisdom  
of democracy—a democratic platform. But,  
Wm. believes that principles, not the two  
words "adjourn and meet" are democracy  
and constitute the true Democratic organiza-  
tion. He believes that free suffrage, liberty  
of thought and action—Temperance and  
equality of rights before the law, are Demo-  
cracy.

Ergo, therefore, "Nezer" is "demo-  
cratic" and Wm. is "opposition."

That is the logic. That is '54 democracy.  
It is "regular" dumb democracy. Sublime,  
intelligent, glorious democracy. It is the

substratum and ground work of "Bogus, Nig-  
ger, Ramrod," and is the height of Demo-  
cracy.

If this is not the logic of this new light,  
democratic document, what is it? How are  
we to learn by it, what is "Democratic" and  
what "Opposition?" "Nezer" Wm. "Horn-  
be" and John are all farmers. Is there any-  
thing in their habits, sentiments or modes of  
cultivation which makes one democratic—  
another opposition and another doubtful?  
Do they hoe, pitch, rake, drink or wear; talk,  
think or act in such a manner as to be label-  
led satisfactorily in this way? For if the  
democratic platform is silence, vacuity, em-  
ptiness—is neither temperance or anti-tem-  
perance—neither Liberty or Slavery—neither  
Nebraska or anti-Nebraska, how can a  
man judge what entitles his neighbor to be  
labelled "democratic?" We must seek for  
a distinction somewhere else; and where  
shall we so likely to find it as in the dif-  
ferent methods Nezer, Hornbe, John and  
Wm. may take to cultivate their soil?

The truth is, this document is deficient in  
one important particular. It does not say  
why such and such men should receive such  
and such labels. It does not declare why  
"Nezer" is "democratic"—why "Serra" is  
"opposition," or why "Fin" is "doubtful." It  
should therefore, be privately and confiden-  
tially recommended with instructions.

Fellow-citizens, we assure you that we  
know these men who are parted off and label-  
led in this manner. We assure every-  
body that at heart they are all good Demo-  
crats on the old democratic platform. They  
are all in favor of democratic principles. And  
if any of them have been deceived by the sil-  
lery cry of "Bogus, Nigger, Ramrod," and  
by this new method of bending, marking  
and labelling, we hope they will be deceived  
no longer.

One of them has been made to believe  
that Hon. Anson P. Morrill does not stand  
upon the old time honored democratic doc-  
trines—without having in any respect aban-  
doned them—we hope he may be undeceived  
before he casts another vote.

But, finally, this little document tells a  
world of news. It is more important than all  
else that has occurred during this political  
campaign. Its language is:

THE PARIS PARTY THROUGH "Bogus," SPEAKS.

EVERY SCHOOL DISTRICT IS ORGANIZED.

EVERY MAN IS "LABELLED."

Principles to order, believe what you  
please, Maine Law or Anti-Maine Law—Ne-  
braska or Anti-Nebraska—Liberty or Slavery—  
summitry. Vote our "ticket" and we'll  
label you "Democratic."

Freemen, Fellow-citizens, Americans, be  
not deceived. You see what the dumb forces  
are about. You see how they are organized.  
They contain in their ranks the office holders  
of an Administration which has deserted the  
platform on which it was elected; and shame-  
fully surrendered—without even being asked  
—\$50,000 broad acres to a sectional institu-  
tion—Slavery—which had been devoted  
by our fathers to Freedom. They have the  
lands. They are resolved that this deed  
shall be sanctioned by the election of Mr.  
Parris. They have "labelled" you for the  
purpose. Shall they succeed? Answer at  
the Polls.

THINGS TO BE REMEMBERED.

The time of election is now at hand. Next  
Monday will decide the present contest. This  
will be our last number before the result will  
be known. The time for argument is there-  
fore passed, but there are some things which  
should be remembered by the people—the  
true democracy—the voters of our County  
and State.

Every voter should remember that prin-  
ciples not men, constitute democracy. Men may  
die, but principles live forever. Men may be-  
tray liberty, morality, and humanity, but the  
principles of Liberty, morality and humanity  
will ever remain the same. Remember that  
we contend for these principles.

Remember, that Governor Hubbard was  
sacrificed while he was called to be the stand-  
ard bearer of these principles.

Remember, that his defeat was accomplish-  
ed by a base coalition.

Remember, that the democratic party was  
overthrown and its platform subverted and  
prostituted by the treachery of those who pre-  
tended to be its friends.

Remember, that the new platform erected  
for the party in '52 was "down with the Li-  
beral law," up with slavery. Everything  
else was abandoned for these.

Remember, that Pillsbury was placed on  
this platform either secretly or openly. He  
was defeated.

Remember, that Anson P. Morrill was the  
man who came up in opposition to Pillsbury,  
holding steadfastly to the old democratic faith  
in all its pristine integrity.

Remember, that the Chandler issue on  
which Pillsbury was placed were voted down  
by the people.

Remember, that Mr. Parris has been  
loaded with the same issues privately, but that  
the Committee who have had him in charge,  
will not allow him to speak.

Remember, that a new issue of vast impor-  
tance—the repeal of the Missouri Compromise—  
has arisen since last year, and that Mr. Par-  
ris is privately committed to those who ap-  
prove of this fraud.

Remember, that this repeal is a retrograde  
movement in the cause of American Govern-  
ment; and that although the same thing was  
asked after the passage of the ordinance of  
1787 in relation to Illinois and Indiana, yet  
the virtue of the government at that time was  
such as to reserve such a measure, while it  
was reserved for the Congress of '54 to perpe-  
trate so infamous a deed.

Remember that acquiescence in this per-  
fidious measure is equivalent to approval, and  
that a vote for Parris, is a vote for Douglass,  
Toussens & Co.

The citizens of this County have many  
things to remember. They have much to an-  
swer for. Our people have been sold out and  
bargained off by a corrupt coalition. A quar-  
relsome, slanderous and corrupt Journal has  
been established by foreign influence to kill the  
oldest democratic Journal in the County, and  
one which always had enjoyed and still en-  
joys the greatest share of public confidence.

Remember, that this threatening, pretended  
democratic organ, was started on the Repeal  
of the liquor law, or liberalism, and assisted  
and advocated a Mass Convention to get up a  
new candidate for Governor, opposed to the

regular organization, and that it advocated  
the election of two men for the office of Sen-  
ators who voted for Crosby for Governor.

Remember, that the establishment of this  
new liberal organ, with its new principles of  
democracy and its malcontent and slanderous  
propensities has been the entire cause of all  
the political quarrelling and contention in this  
County.

Remember, that the perfidious course of  
the Senators of '52 from this district, was the  
cause of the defeat of similar Senators last  
year, and will be the cause of their defeat  
this year. Those candidates are not entitled  
to the confidence of the democracy of old Ox-  
ford.

Remember that Prince and Walker are the  
popular candidates, and that they should be  
elected by the people.

Remember, to read your ticket, and be  
sure and not cast it till you are satisfied that  
every man is right.

Our ticket both for County and State is de-  
signed to succeed. It is right and ought to  
succeed. Fellow-citizens, work, watch, be  
vigilant, and it will triumph over every ism  
and every combination. Be candid, cool and  
quiet, and there is no danger. "Trust in  
God," but, like Cromwell, "keep your pow-  
der dry," and the day is yours.

REFLECTIONS FOR NEXT MONDAY.

Fellow democrats, for whom will you vote  
next Monday. Should you vote for prin-  
ciples, or should you vote simply for persons?

This is the last number of the Democrat  
which will be issued prior to the settlement of  
the present political contest in this State.

The above questions are pertinent for each  
voter to ask himself at this time. Each one  
should answer these questions for himself,  
without regard to prejudice, influence or dic-  
tation. He should candidly make up his mind  
as to the questions at issue, and so vote as to  
subserve the true interests of the State and  
Nation.

For whom then will you vote? A vote  
for Mr. Morrill is a vote for a true democ-  
rat. It is a vote for an honest, capable, conser-  
vative man. He represents Jeffersonian prin-  
ciples. A vote for him is therefore a vote  
for true liberty, for free suffrage, for tem-  
perance, and for popular sovereignty. It is  
moreover a vote for the sacredness of com-  
pacts and in opposition to the repeal of the  
Missouri Compromise.

A vote for Mr. Parris is a vote for an aged  
man who has held office for forty-one years,  
and is now feeble from age. He is the rep-  
resentative of no principles whatever. He is  
not a democrat because he has no platform  
of principles. He is neither Nebraska or an-  
ti-Nebraska. He is neither for the repeal  
of the Missouri compromise or against it. He  
is neither temperance, or anti-temperance.  
In a word, he and his friends are men, but  
remember, that if elected he will cordially  
approve the Nebraska outrage. A vote for  
him is a vote for Reed—the whig candidate,  
because his friends and Reed's friends have  
twice elected Crosby—a whig—for Gov-  
ernor.

A vote for Reed is a vote for a whig. It is  
some temperance, and some anti-Nebraska,  
but none but hurt. A vote for Reed is a vote  
for Parris. A vote for either, is a vote of  
acquiescence in, if not approval of the Ne-  
braska fraud.

A vote for Cary is a vote for a strong an-  
ti-Maine law man, and an outspoken advocate  
of the repeal of that law. It is a vote for a  
man who deems that law unconstitutional and  
insists that its repeal is the most important  
measure now under discussion. As Cary, it  
is not known whether he is in favor of, or  
against the Nebraska fraud.

Now here are the candidates, and here are  
their principles or their want of principles.  
All the candidates have principles of some  
kind in some degree, with the exception of  
Mr. Parris. He has none. He represents  
none. To vote for him is to "go it blind."

Fellow democrats and fellow voters, we ad-  
vise you to vote for principles. Let the men  
—the persons, the names, the Morrills,  
the Parris, the Reeds and the Carys, all go out  
of mind; let them be considered away out of  
sight, beyond reach or hearing. Then recur  
to the principles you desire to see promoted  
and carried out, and vote for the man who  
will carry them out. In that case you will  
give an intelligent vote, and such an one as  
your discretion and wisdom will dictate, and  
such an one as your better impulses and  
better judgment will sanction now and here-  
after.

Consistency of Regularity.

There is one thing which every citizen  
should duly estimate. And that is the prin-  
ciple of Freedom. It lies at the base of the  
Government; and is more important than all  
else. The Nebraska perfidy violated this  
principle. It practically says "Slavery may  
advance—Liberty must be restrained."

How can a party be right or proper when  
it is dumb or ambiguous on this fundamental  
principle? Here is the evidence of its in-  
consistency and ambiguity.

Dumbism in Sagadahoc says:

"Resolved, That we cordially  
approve of the passage of the  
Nebraska bill, which repeals  
the Missouri Compromise."

Dumbism in Pembout says:

"Resolved, That the action  
of Congress in repealing the  
Missouri Compromise was un-  
wise and unnecessary—in con-  
flict with the sentiment of the  
North—and ought not and does  
not receive the support of Demo-  
cracy of this District."

Dumbism in Oxford says:

"Resolved, That this new  
question is not to be "taken into  
consideration."

Such is now "regular" Democracy. "All  
things to all men"—Here a little and there a  
little—Now for, there against and then in-  
different—Now Nebraska, then opposed. Is  
there an honest man who can accept and ap-  
prove such motley doctrines?

Hon. Samuel H. Blake is nominated for  
Congress in the 5th District. Mr. Washburn  
is his opponent.

Resolutions opposed to the Nebraska per-  
fidy were passed at the Convention.

EXTRAORDINARY DEVELOPMENTS  
BEWARE OF FRAUD.

A correspondent has written to a friend, in-  
forming him of a certain matter which it is  
deemed necessary to make public. We re-  
gret that politics should become so personal;  
on that anything of the kind should exist.  
That such a thing does exist is more than we  
know. We trust that the event will show  
that there is nothing in it.

This correspondent states, that a citizen of  
Paris is personally opposed to Gen. Perry,  
and Mr. R. S. Stevens, for the offices to which  
they are severally nominated; and in con-  
junction with others is resolved to overthrow  
them at all hazards. He states that this citi-  
zen of Paris has asserted that he was in pos-  
session of a fact that would blow Perry high  
and dry. And he further states on the  
authority of a neighbor that this same citizen  
of Paris has made affidavit to the story or fact  
alluded to which is to be spread broadcast  
over this Congressional District to late for  
refutation. The story or fact is reported as  
follows:

"Nichols, the publisher of the Rum paper  
in Portland, called on him (the author of the  
story, supposing he was talking with another  
gentleman of the same name; and inquired in  
relation to Perry's responsibility, and said:  
that he (Perry) and yourself (meaning the  
author of the story and affidavit) had sent to  
him and to Cary and requested them to come  
into this county and District and hold political  
meetings and they should be paid for it; and  
he (Nichols) wanted to be sure that the prom-  
ise should be made good; but on finding he  
was talking in the wrong man requested the  
author of the affidavit to say nothing about the  
matter so the whole might be kept a secret."

Such is the story or fact that is to blow  
Perry sky high and defeat him.

One word in relation to this story. It is  
not entitled to the least consideration for sev-  
eral reasons. 1st. Because it is not reasonable.  
It is absurd on the face of it. Gen. Perry  
could never be found in such business. 2nd.  
because it is hatched up on the eve of the  
election too late for contradiction. For both  
of these reasons it is unworthy of belief. But  
there is still stronger reason than all this for  
disbelieving the whole affair; and that is be-  
cause Gen. Perry has heard of the story and  
has pronounced it in whole and in part  
false—a lie.

We again caution our friends and the whole  
public to give no heed to contemptible cir-  
culars and handbills—got up by those who en-  
joy or hate a candidate for office, simply to injure  
his character; and draw away the attention of  
the people from the great question at issue.  
Give no heed to such affidavits even if pub-  
lished in certain Journals which feed on slan-  
der. Pass them by as the idle wind. They  
are utterly unworthy of notice let them come  
whence they may.

We know nothing about the truth of this  
matter. As we stated before we hope there  
is no truth in it. Our duty is done when we  
caution the people to give no heed whatever  
to such affidavits whether in handbills or  
Journals.

Senators and Representatives.

Our friends must be vigilant and watchful  
in relation to Senators and Representatives.  
We have two honest, capable and intelligent  
men placed in nomination for Senators in this  
district. They ought to be elected by the  
people, and they would be if all the democ-  
ratic and Anti-Nebraska votes could be secured  
for them. Messrs. Prince and Walker should  
receive three thousand five hundred votes.  
Democrats of Oxford, will you not give them  
that number? They stand upon the right  
platform, they are friends of the people—in  
favor of true popular sovereignty—in favor  
of freedom and temperance, and opposed open-  
ly and boldly to the Nebraska fraud and the  
repeal of old time honored compacts.

Your representatives should likewise be  
honored, thoroughly cordially and unanimously  
sustained. Let there be no faltering, no  
yielding, no parleying, but let every man do  
his duty. A single vote withheld may elect  
your opponent. On a single vote may hang  
the cause of liberty and free principles—  
Therefore, let not a single vote be lost.

Besides, let us defeat the spurious, dumb,  
falsehoods, regular Senators and Represen-  
tatives. These Chandler fanatics deserve  
defeat. Grover and Holland were among  
those who formed the new party in this Coun-  
ty two years ago. They were among those  
who turned the democratic party over, and  
sold it out to the whigs. They assisted to  
create a party of liberals based on opposition  
to a mere liquor law, and they have only aban-  
doned their arguments, while they retain their  
position on this question. Their old fusion  
organ is the same—only its course and its  
sentiments have become so odious to the peo-  
ple that it dare not promulgate them, and it  
is now hypocritically dumb and silent. The  
spurious sentiments and anti-democratic pol-  
icy and principles of this organ and its nom-  
inees has driven a great part of the true dem-  
ocrats out of the sphere of its influence, and  
hundreds of others would follow their exam-  
ple were they aware of the true character of the  
conductors and floggers of that notoriously  
priest ridden concern.

Therefore defeat these old fusion nominees  
They richly deserve defeat for breaking down  
the true democracy of this County. They  
have become dumb, and are placed upon no  
platform, whatever. The convention which  
nominated them was silent. It avowed no  
principles. It was neither Liberal, Nebrask-  
a or anti-Nebraska, temperance or anti tem-  
perance, in favor of popular sovereignty, or  
against it. It was nowhere and dumb. Who  
would vote for a candidate in such a condi-  
tion? Who would forfeit his self respect by  
committing so mean an act? There is no  
Democracy in such a conduct and therefore  
no democrat can lose cast with his party by  
voting against these candidates. Rose then  
people of Oxford, and let us overthrow these  
old fusionists, and reconstruct the true dem-  
ocracy of this County.

RESOLUTIONS OF SAGADAHOC "regularity."

"Resolved, That a dumb Democracy is a  
dead one."

It should be buried at once.

VERMONT ELECTION. The whigs and an-  
ti-Nebraska ticket has succeeded in Vermont  
by large majorities. Judge Rovee is prob-  
ably elected Governor by the people.

Look Out for Fraudulent Votes.

All sorts of votes will be put in circulation  
by the opponents of Mr. Morrill and the prin-  
ciples of freedom. Every possible means  
will be used to pass off spurious votes, and  
defeat our nominees. In many cases a few  
such votes will decide the election. It is  
therefore highly important to watch and see  
that no such votes are imposed upon the inde-  
pendent electors. Let no man who has the  
good of the cause at heart, throw away his  
vote for any candidate for any selfish or per-  
sonal considerations, and thereby defeat the  
objects dear to every true Republican; but  
let each voter come up manfully to the work,  
and elect those who are opposed to the Ne-  
braska perfidy—to dumb and spurious democ-  
racy. We say again.

LOCK OUT FOR SPURIOUS VOTES!!

The Democratic Ticket!

FOR OXFORD COUNTY.

Democrats, see that your ticket corresponds  
with the following, with the exception of  
the candidate for representative to the Legis-  
lature; and let the voters of this representa-  
tive district see that Mr. Stevens' name is on  
their ticket.

For Governor,  
Anson P. Morrill.

For Representative to Congress,  
John J. Perry.

For







