

Maine State Library

Digital Maine

Transportation Documents

Transportation

6-14-2011

**Martin's Point Bridge Advisory Committee : 11th Meeting,
Tuesday, June 14, 2011**

Maine Department of Transportation

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalmaine.com/mdot_docs

Martin's Point Bridge Advisory Committee
Maine Department of Transportation

11th Meeting: Tuesday, June 14, 2011
Martin's Point Health Care Center – Marine Hospital
331 Veranda Street, Portland
6:00-8:00 pm

Agenda

- 6:00 pm Welcome, goals of the meeting – Sally
- Approval of meeting notes
- 6:05 pm Update from MaineDOT – Leanne
- 6:10 pm Planning for public information meeting – Wednesday, July 13 from 6 to 8 pm at Merrill Auditorium Rehearsal Hall (located behind the Auditorium)
- 6:20 pm RFQ – Request for Qualifications
Review of listing of qualifications required, description of Aesthetic Design Professional role and other aspects of scoring criteria
- 6:50 pm RFP – Request for Proposals
- Explanation of RFP sections – Where requirements fit in the RFP - Leanne
 - Handling in RFP of design details that impact the bridge aesthetics – Review of pros and cons identified at end of last meeting (see minutes from 5/10/11) - Sally
 1. Require single option for design details.
 2. Require 2 or 3 holistic aesthetic design packages to be considered by Advisory Committee post bid award. Each package would fit within bidders offered price.
 3. MaineDOT identify to all bidders stated price for superstructure with a financial allowance for design details (railing, lighting, etc.). Work with Advisory Committee in post bid award period to select a single holistic package of design elements.
- Discussion of items that fall under this category of design aesthetics (see attached list)
- 7:30 pm Public Involvement Post Bid Award – Ideas generated at April 26 Advisory Committee meeting - test for consensus
Communications with general public
- Require Public Information Plan to outline D-B team's approach to on-going public information throughout final design and construction including website use, email, newspaper coverage, etc.

- Hold 1 public information meeting in this period including to introduce the winning team and outline anticipated final design/construction process and timeline.
- Work with Advisory Committee that is representative of the public to make recommended choices or refinements of plans as presented in the winning proposal.
- Require Public Involvement Plan to outline D-B team's approach to working with the Martin's Point Advisory Committee or sub-committee.
- Continue to work with Advisory Committee (reconstitute from current Committee acknowledging that some members have resigned and others have seldom if ever attended meetings adding members if necessary to maintain a group fully representative of the public). Define specific role for this Committee in the RFP (depends on what issues are left undecided regarding aesthetic design choices, construction issues where public input would be desired, etc.). Allow for specified number of meetings.
- Allow for possibility of creating a sub-committee of the Advisory Committee to work together with MaineDOT and D-B team to address final design and construction details beyond period that Advisory Committee meets. Allow for specified number of meetings.

7:45 pm Construction period typical practices - Leanne
Construction phasing, hours, debris, parking of construction workers, etc.
Questions and input from Advisory Committee members

7:55 pm Plans for Next Meeting - Discussion of relevant sections of RFP
What topics, items have we not yet discussed that we should consider?

8:00 pm Adjourn

Next Meeting: June 14, 6-8 pm, Martin's Point Health Care Center Marine Hospital Building

Future Meetings: Public Information Meeting, July 13, 6-8 pm, Merrill Auditorium
Rehearsal Hall, 20 Myrtle Street, Portland
Advisory Committee Meeting – July 19, Martin's Point Health Care
Center Marine Hospital Building

Draft Qualifications List:

- Design-Builder Principal in Charge
- Construction Project Manager – Structures
- Construction Project Manager – Highway
- Construction Quality Manager - Structures
- Construction Quality Manager - Highway
- Construction Quality Assurance Manager
- Design Manager (Engineer of Record)
- Aesthetic Design Professional
- Design Quality Assurance Manager
- Geotechnical Engineer
- Design Lead Engineer – Structures
- Design Lead Engineer – Highway
- Context Sensitive Solutions Expert/Practitioner
- Landscape Architect
- Traffic Engineering Manager
- Traffic Control Supervisor
- Utilities Design Engineering/Coordination Manager
- Right-of-Way Manager
- Safety Manager
- Public Involvement Professional
- Public Communications Professional
- Hydraulics/Scour Engineering Manager
- Environmental Compliance Manager

**Martin's Point Bridge Advisory Committee Meeting
Aesthetic Options**

Aesthetic Design Items that might be left to decide after bid award:

- Pier treatments: Is a decorative treatment desired? Would it reinforce the desire for a bridge that is “slender, elegant and refined with graceful proportions”.
- If this is a clear preference of the Advisory Committee should this be specified in the RFP but would final decision on design be made with Advisory Committee input after bid award?
- FHWA railing requirements – proposals should show railings that are what is required by governing regulations
 - Multi-use path railing – What are the requirements?
 - Rail/barrier between multi-use path and shoulder – requirements?
 - Would there be a barrier between upstream sidewalk and shoulder?
 - Railing on upstream sidewalk – requirements?

- All railings should allow visibility to water
- Do we want to include any specific prohibited elements, e.g. no use of Jersey or other solid barrier?
- Type of lighting for multi-use pathway – Would you specify that this be at railing height but make final choice of lighting with input from Advisory Committee after bid award?
- Desirability of nodes or overlooks for viewing, fishing – Could RFP indicate this is a preference of the Advisory Committee? Would it be noted in the scoring of the Community Context and Values category?
 - Would seating be desired?
- Surfacing materials for road, overlook, multi-use path, shoulders, sidewalk
- Use of color on any elements
- Community transition treatments – signage, landscaping preferences
 - Need to discuss for input from Advisory Committee
- Parking, park and/or trail opportunities at old abutment depending on alignment proposed

Renderings required of D-B teams:

- Regarding renderings that will be required for proposals: What is desirable? What is reasonable? From what perspectives? At what distance – from Rt 295, from ends of the bridge, etc.?
- What renderings would be specified to be expected from the D-B team post-bid award for purposes of the Advisory Committee's considering aesthetic design recommendations.

Summary List of Aesthetic Design Choices that could be considered by Advisory Committee post bid award

Pier treatments
Railing choices
Lighting for multi-use pathway
Overlooks if included
Surfacing materials for all surfaces
Color on all elements
Signage
Landscaping
Parking on Portland side if chosen alignment allows this
Multi-modal connectivity – specific plans for this
Park and/or trail opportunities

What have we missed?