













THE PRESS.  
FRIDAY MORNING, AUG. 3.

PORTLAND AND VICINITY.

NEW ADVERTISEMENTS TO-DAY.

**MISCELLANEOUS NOTICES.**  
J. Nichols—During August.  
Ladies—Read our advertisement, sale of cotton underwear and bed spreads.  
Hines Bros.  
**Advice to Mothers.**—MRS. WINSLOW'S SOOTHING SYRUP should always be used when children are cutting teeth. It relieves the little sufferer at once; it produces natural, quiet sleep by relieving the child from pain, and the little mother, who knows that it is so good for her baby, will be pleased to find it so good for her baby. It is very pleasant to taste. It soothes the child, softens the bowels, and is the best known remedy for diarrhoea, whether arising from teething or other causes. Twenty-cent a bottle.  
J. M. Wadley.  
But few articles have reached such a world-wide reputation as **Angstrom's Bitters**. For over 50 years they have been the acknowledged standard regulators of the digestive organs. Their success has incited imitations. Be sure you get the genuine article, manufactured only by Dr. J. G. Siegert & Sons.  
J. M. Wadley.  
**Smart Weed and Belladonna.**—The compound with the other ingredients used in the famous plaster, make Carter's S. W. & B. Backache Plaster the best in the market. Price 25 cents.  
J. M. Wadley.

**AN EXPLANATION.**  
What is this "nervous trouble" which so many seem now to be afflicted with? It is a new disease, a new word, the word **MAINE**, was comparatively unknown, to-day it is common as any word in the English language, yet it covers only the meaning of another word used by our forefathers in time past. So it is with nervous diseases, as they say, Maine is intended to cover what our grandfathers called Biliousness, and all are caused by troubles that arise from a diseased condition of the liver which in performing its functions find it cannot dispose of the bile through the ordinary channel is compelled to pass it through the system, causing nervous trouble, Malicious Bitters, etc. You who are suffering can well appreciate a cure. We recommend Green's August Flower. Its cures are rapid.  
J. M. Wadley.

REPUBLICAN MASS MEETING.

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 15.

Afternoon and Evening.

City Hall, Portland.

HON. H. B. CLEVELAND WILL PRESIDE.

The meetings will be addressed by

HON. JAMES G. BLAINE.

Hon. Warner Miller, of New York,

Hon. A. W. Tennyson, of New York,

Hon. Thomas B. Reed,

Hon. Nelson Dingley, Jr.,

Hon. Seth L. Milliken.

Reduced fares on all the railroads and special trains to accommodate all.

THE PRESS FOR THE CAMPAIGN.

The PORTLAND DAILY PRESS will be furnished from now until September 15th, postage prepaid for 75 Cents. From now until the November election, for \$1.50.

The MAINE STATE PRESS, which is published every Thursday, will be furnished from now until September 15th, for 45 cents. From now until the November election, for 80 cents.

The cash must accompany all orders. Address: PORTLAND PUBLISHING CO., 77 Exchange street, Portland, Me.

ATTENTION ARTILLERY.

All members are requested to meet at Headquarters, Park Garden, Federal street, Friday evening, August 3d, at 7.30 o'clock.

For order, J. H. W. MORRILL.

MARRISON PIONEERS ATTENTION.

Every member of the Harrison Pioneers is hereby ordered to report for drill this FRIDAY EVENING, August 3d, at 7.30 o'clock sharp, at Headquarters, Park Garden, Federal street.

For order, J. H. W. MORRILL.

ATTENTION TIPPECANOS.

The Tippecanoe Guards will meet at Headquarters, Federal street, SATURDAY EVENING, August 4th, at 8 p.m. Drill. Every member is requested to be present.

For order, G. A. DOW, Captain.

MUNICIPAL COURT.

BEFORE JUDGE GOULD.

THURSDAY.—Alexander McGilly, Warren A. Coffey and Arthur Chase; Coffey fined \$5.00, McGilly and Chase discharged; Coffey fined \$5.00.

Charles E. Lord and Charles Adams; breaking and entering and larceny. Commenced by the grand jury in the sum of \$500 each.

PERSONAL.

We are glad to hear from Prof. Grimmer that his son, Mr. C. E. Grimmer, is improving in health.

Engineer Page met with an accident at the Union Railway station, Wednesday evening, breaking his arm.

Mr. and Mrs. William Astor and John Jacob Astor, Jr., are to visit Bar Harbor soon in their beautiful steam yacht Noma.

Col. Green expects to grade the Shore Line from Sullivan to Machias this season. He has eighty men at work at Machias and expects to put a large force at Sullivan very soon.

Assistant Engineer John B. Coyle, U. S. R. M., who has been in this city waiting orders since May 1st, has been ordered to duty on U. S. revenue steamer Woodbury, now undergoing repairs at Bath.

Mr. Will Randall, formerly of Portland, and now representing Scribner's Magazine at the South, was at the office of Harper and the Century, and will be of a similar character, with illustrated articles. The first number will be issued in October.

Portland Club.

The Portland Club will give their regular shore dinner Saturday, at the club house on Diamond Island. Dinner will be served at 5.30 p.m., sharp. Members, their families and friends are invited to be present. Horse cars will connect with the 6.30 and 8.40 p.m. boats.

The Senatorship.

Hon. Charles F. Libby, of this city, has been nominated by the Republican caucus for the Portland district. Mr. Libby will represent this county with distinguished ability.

Delegate at Large.

At a meeting of the Republican delegates to the County Convention, held at Republican headquarters in Park Garden, Mr. Lyman N. Cousins presiding, Mr. William W. Latham was elected delegate-at-large.

STAIN-CROMWELL.

Hearing of the Case by the Full Bench.

Motions for a New Trial by the Defense.

The case of David L. Stain and Oliver Cromwell for the murder of Cashier Barron at Dexter, in February, 1878, was taken up before the law court yesterday morning. Attorney General Barker and County Attorney Appleton appeared for the State and Lewis A. Barker and P. H. Gillin for the defendants.

The case comes before this court on a motion for a new trial as against evidence, and also on the ground of newly discovered evidence. The counsel for the defendants claim that the case is governed by section 27 of chapter 34 of the Revised Statutes, which provides that "if a motion for a new trial in a capital case is denied by the justice before whom the same is made, the respondent may appeal from said decision to the next law court for that district; and the concurrence of three justices shall be necessary to grant such motion."

On the other hand it is claimed that when the legislature abolished capital punishment this section of the statute fell with it; that the case cannot come under this provision because this is not a capital case and therefore the law court has no jurisdiction, but that it should be argued before and decided by the judge who tried the case as the case is entirely a criminal case under our law. If the court hold that the law court has jurisdiction, and three justices can grant a new trial, the case will be passed over to the district court for trial. The counsel for this district claim that it is decided that statute does not apply then will be charged as having been argued before Chief Justice Peters alone, who tried the case, and he will pass on the matter.

The printed evidence of the case makes twelve hundred pages, bound in two volumes.

The case was opened by Mr. P. H. Gillin, junior counsel for the defense. He confined himself to a history of the case and the original testimony.

MR. GILLIN'S OPINION.

Mr. Gillin pointed out the inconsistencies of the three stories of Charles F. Stain, the one at Dexter at the preliminary examination, the one at the trial at Bangor and the one at the trial at Portland. He characterized Charles F. Stain's story as the one of the case; that every particle of testimony outside of it is entirely untrue. He formed the network of the evidence and claimed that when, as the printed case shows, the aorta is diseased with the small part of falsehood the whole case falls to the ground. He contended that the evidence of the case is entirely untrue, and that the case is entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

MR. BARKER'S ARGUMENT.

Mr. Barker, senior counsel for the defense, represented two men as innocent of the murder of John Wilson Barron. He said that the sound of his voice. It was because of that sound that he believed that the case was entirely untrue. He said that the case was entirely untrue.

A LIVELY NIGHT.

Stirring Experiences in a Train of Returning Democrats.

Some of the passengers on the excursion trains that arrived in this city from Bangor yesterday morning, while the Democrats told stories of strange actions. One fellow tumbled off one of the excursion trains and was given up for lost by his comrades; but he picked up with him, collected his wits and began to devise means to get himself pulled back to Bangor. He was then taken for Western Maine, for he was then not far from Bangor. With a brightness that ought to have kept him from falling off in the first place, he got a lantern from a house near by and swinging it across the track stopped one of the excursion trains that was following, and coolly climbed on board.

On one of the trains there was a regular knock down fight that threw a car into a complete turmoil. A tough from Lewiston drew a knife and slashed at another tough, inflicting a slight wound when the knife could be taken away from him. Another tough is said to have drawn a pistol, but a