Maine State Library Digital Maine

Land Use Planning Commission Documents

Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

2006

LURC Enforcement Actions, 2006 – 2010

Maine Land Use Planning Commission

Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalmaine.com/lupc docs

Recommended Citation

Maine Land Use Planning Commission and Maine Department of Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry, "LURC Enforcement Actions, 2006 – 2010" (2006). *Land Use Planning Commission Documents*. 11. https://digitalmaine.com/lupc_docs/11

This Text is brought to you for free and open access by the Agriculture, Conservation and Forestry at Digital Maine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Land Use Planning Commission Documents by an authorized administrator of Digital Maine. For more information, please contact statedocs@maine.gov.

LURC Enforcement Actions, 2006 – 2010¹

	Towns, Plantations,	Enforcement ³ Actions Logged Per Year						Enforcement Actions Resolved ⁴ , ⁵ Per Year					
County	Townships, and (Islands ²) in LURC Jurisdiction	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total
Aroostook	123	35	36	22	26	23	142	27	13	10	31	19	100
Franklin	31	10	8	15	5	0	38	4	2	4	6	0	16
Hancock	16 (71)	0	2	2	1	4	9	0	1	1	0	2	4
Kennebec	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Knox	3 (88)	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lincoln	3 (37)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oxford	21	5	0	5	0	0	10	2	0	0	0	1	3
Penobscot	46	6	5	5	2	6	24	4	2	4	1	2	13
Piscataquis	90 (109)	6	10	15	12	11	54	5	2	4	4	3	18
Sagadahoc	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somerset	88	19	5	7	9	7	47	6	3	2	1	1	13
Waldo	(2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington	37 (70)	0	2	7	1	6	16	0	0	1	2	5	8
Total	459 (308)	81	68	79	57	57	342	48	23	26	45	33	175

Within the Jurisdiction of the Maine Land Use Regulation Commission

¹ "Accepted for processing" means the date that the application had been initially checked by the analyst and was accepted for processing.

² These islands include over 200 islands that are not associated with any town, plantation, or township.

³ The date that the action was logged into GOAT and assigned a tracking number.

⁴ The date that an enforcement case became resolved. Note that resolution may include an after-the-fact permit or a settlement agreement.

⁵ Enforcement actions logged during any given year do not necessarily correlate to enforcement actions resolved during that same year.